



Low-Income Oversight Board

Scoping Memo for the Next CARE/FERA/ESA Program Cycle Proceeding

April 6, 2026

Administrative Law Judge Toy
The Honorable President and Commissioners
California Public Utilities Commission
505 Van Ness Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94102
Sent via Email

Subject: LIOB Recommendations on Scoping Memo for 2028–2033 Income-Qualified Programs (A.26-01-003 et al.)

Dear Administrative Law Judge Toy, President Reynolds and Commissioners:

The Low-Income Oversight Board (LIOB) is pleased to provide the attached recommendations for policy issues to be included for the forthcoming the Scoping Memo and Ruling for the above-referenced consolidated proceedings addressing the 2028–2033 Income-Qualified Programs (CARE, FERA, and ESA).

The LIOB's *Technical Advisory Committee (TAC)* finalized these recommendation topics by a majority vote at a meeting held on January 28, 2026, and reconvened on February 17, 2026 to further refine recommendations and descriptions. The TAC was formed by the LIOB to provide a formal body and subset of the Board to provide timely recommendations for the anticipated 2027 CARE/FERA/ESA decision for the upcoming program cycle. The full Low-Income Oversight Board met on Thursday, March 26, 2026, to discuss these issues further, refined topic descriptions and voted on recommendations for all of the policy areas proposed for the forthcoming the Scoping Memo and Ruling for the 2028–2033 Income-Qualified Programs cycle.

The LIOB would like to thank the Commission, Administrative Law Judge Toy and Commission staff for their time, technical assistance and general support of the LIOB to date. Additionally, the Board thanks the utilities and other stakeholders for their work to ensure the Board has the data and other information needed to make informed decisions.

Background

The LIOB is a statute-mandated board with a mission to advise the California Public Utilities Commission on low-income electric, gas and water customer programs and to serve as a liaison for the Commission to low-income customers and representatives. The LIOB's vision is to maximize the benefits of utility, state and federal low-income programs to improve the health, safety and comfort of low-income customers while reducing energy consumption and greenhouse gas emissions. Other responsibilities include advising on certain aspects of the Lifeline Program related to coordinated outreach with the CARE program and a statutory requirement to assist in periodic assessments, including topic selection, of the low-income rate payer needs assessment (the "LINA" study).

To meet its statutory obligation to advise the Commission on the next CARE, FERA and ESA Decision, the TAC and LIOB leadership are coordinating TAC and LIOB meeting dates to ensure timely recommendations. The first of these recommendations are policy areas, with recommendations, to include in the scoping memo for this proceeding. Dated the same as this letter, our Board also recommended a topic for the next Low-Income Needs Assessment (LINA) Study. The LINA is mentioned in the attached recommendations for use in developing the Scoping Memo and Ruling. All recommendations are below in no order or preference.



Low-Income Oversight Board

Scoping Memo for the Next CARE/FERA/ESA Program Cycle Proceeding

Scoping Memo Policy Issues for Inclusion in the Next CARE/FERA/ESA Program Cycle Proceeding

The LIOB voted on the following at the March 26, 2026 LIOB Meeting. The items below are in no order of importance.

Issue	Brief Description	Recommendation
1. Consistency of rates, policies and practices across the entirety of the ESA program.	There are four major IOUs running separate programs with proprietary guidelines, system platforms, practices and policies resulting in inconsistencies and customer confusion in program delivery, especially for contractors serving eligible populations with multiple IOUs.	Over the course of the 2025 calendar year, the LIOB observed challenges with ESA program administration (by certain IOUs). In response, the LIOB offers this recommendation to effectuate successful administration of ESA and achievement of ESA-specific goals and objectives across all IOU service areas. The LIOB recommends development of an ESA Standardization Team (EST). This concept was instituted in a previous Decision and worked well. The EST is envisioned to work with the IOUs to make sure that the ESA program meets its goals in keeping with Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) established by the CPUC & the LIOB. Such performance indicators include, but are not limited to the success/failure of ESA management systems and operations, program energy efficiency goals, homes treated goals, health, comfort and safety targets, program spend by category, unspent dollars, etc. A public workshop convened by the Energy Division and LIOB shall serve as the forum to inform the identification of KPIs, monitoring, and standardization practices across the entirety of the ESA program. If realized and ordered by the ALJ these recommendations will ensure ESA Program accountability, improved transparency and enhanced inclusion of program implementers (contractors) and other program stakeholders.
2. IOU solicitations for third-party ESA administration and service delivery contracts.	IOUs utilize different solicitation methods to secure contracts with third-party entities to support ESA administration and service delivery to eligible IOU customers. Over the course of the 2025 calendar year, third-party ESA contractors (for certain utility companies) expressed to the Board the need for a market rate study to ensure executed contract offered market-determined and fair compensation to contractors.	Understanding the need for IOUs to maintain a certain level of administrative discretion with the solicitation process used to complete the vetting and procurement of contract with third-party entities for ESA administration and service delivery, the Board holds the view that IOU solicitation processes adhere to certain fundamental principles to ensure determinations made via this process results in: 1) awarding of contracts to entities possessing the organizational skill and capacity to successfully perform prescribed services and activities; 2) incorporates use of market data to ensure compensation for third-party performed services are reasonable and fairly negotiated.

Low-Income Oversight Board

Scoping Memo for the Next CARE/FERA/ESA Program Cycle Proceeding

3. Targeting DAC's, rural communities and hard-to-reach communities	While it is acknowledged IOUs have made noteworthy attempts to improve the service reach of ESA to California Tribal Areas, these efforts have yielded marginal improvement to date. IOU applications for the 2028-2033 program cycle propose to maintain use of existing outreach, enrollment, and service delivery strategies to promote awareness and the extending of ESA services to tribal areas. To effectively serve this hard-to-reach and hard-to-serve customer segment, new strategies and approaches are needed in order to make more meaningful strides to serving this customer segment over the course of the next program cycle.	In addition to existing efforts: Explore a unique set of contractors and service providers for tribal and hard-to-reach communities Higher incentives for tribal and hard-to-reach community contractors Within these definitions, prioritize hot climate zones and high usage households
4. Workforce Management and Development	IOU applications reflect different strategies and investments to support the ESA workforce. Understanding the ESA workforce is the figurative backbone of ESA performing all facets of from customer acquisition to servicing, it's time that IOUs adopt a different philosophy for maintaining a competent and well-trained workforce – one that pools IOU workforce-related investments to realize economies of scale benefits.	Recommend IOUs pool workforce development investments to create a shared, high-quality, and cost-effective training system that provides standardized training support and resources for the entire ESA workforce. The current WE&T system is inefficient and not sustainable with regard to employee retention and cost put on employers. Also, development of such a training system must include employers (contractors) in the design and implementation of a more effective WE&T. Discontinue the practice of placing additional costs on contractors and recognize that ESA contractors must be competitive with wages and benefits paid to their workers, or risk attrition of a trained ESA workforce.
5. Treatment of carry over dollars and unspent dollars for ESA Main and ESA-related pilots	Rules regarding the treatment of these dollars are unclear and, at times, results in differing treatment and uses by individual IOU. Additionally, current requirements allow for unspent ESA dollars (at the conclusion of each annual period) to be returned to ratepayers or offset public purpose program surcharges. The practice of reallocating unspent ESA funds in this manner fails to hold IOUs accountable for effective administration of ESA and	Develop standard requirements for ensuring the consistent treatment of carryover and unspent dollars allocated/budgeted for ESA and ESA-related pilots. Allow flexibility for the energy division to approve requests for unspent funds in any ESA program category to be reappropriated to programs that are utilizing their current budgets. Prior to authorizing unspent ESA dollars (at the conclusion of each annual period) to be returned to ratepayers or offset public purpose program surcharges, require IOUs to evaluate and present options to the CPUC for carrying forward unspent dollars to augment funding for

Low-Income Oversight Board

Scoping Memo for the Next CARE/FERA/ESA Program Cycle Proceeding

	honoring service commitments to low-income customers in which the ESA funding in question was originally budgeted and intended for.	ESA-related services and activities in the subsequent year. Only after IOUs have confirmed through this evaluation that no viable option for retaining use of unspent funds exist should funds be returned to ratepayers or offset public purposes program charges.
6. ESA Reporting and Timeliness	The current reporting paperwork reporting process does not expeditiously allow for detailed and program element(s) analysis. Program evaluation and revision processes must be more expeditious to influence a management model that is proactive and less reactive. Real time oversight and strategic management is based on data and information. The current reporting system requires much time and cost to identify program inefficiencies, problems and missed opportunities.	Develop a robust and real time ESA data dashboard to replace spreadsheet reporting. The Energy Division is doing a stellar job on the development of the CAS and should be tasked with developing the ESA data dashboard to ensure continued program improvements throughout the next program cycle.
7. Maintain consistent eligibility verification standards for ESA, CARE, and FERA across all IOUs	With efforts underway to implement the Concurrent Application System, a statewide online application platform enabling customers to apply for energy assistance programs administered by IOUs. Because ESA, CARE, and FERA will be part of this system, it is essential that eligibility requirements and verification be standardized to ensure consistent treatment of all IOU customer applying for these programs – especially, those opting to do so using a single- entry application platform, like CAS. In addition, variance in eligibility requirements makes it difficult to pursue single marketing and public messaging campaigns for CARE, ESA, and FERA benefiting all IOUs and their customers.	Deny Southern California’s Edison’s proposal to require 100% upfront income verification for CARE participation and elimination of the school lunch program as a categorical eligible program.
8. Pursue data sharing strategies to simplify income eligibility and verification requirements for CARE, FERA, and ESA programs.	IOU applications propose a variety of different data sharing interests to improve the marketing of IOU administered energy programs to eligible customers and simplify the enrollment process into these programs. IOU efforts and interests with data sharing can	Propose that the CPUC create a framework to establish common data sharing objectives with state entities outside of IOUs and the CPUC to verify income at or below the requirements of CARE, FERA and ESA, and to create a system that allows for low-income rate-payers to enroll into these programs without the added need for income verification by the IOUs or their contractors. Utilize the 2028 LINA study as a

Low-Income Oversight Board

Scoping Memo for the Next CARE/FERA/ESA Program Cycle Proceeding

	be better guided if focused on a set of common objectives.	resource to build this framework and implement over the course of the next program cycle. Ensure the next cycle includes adequate funding and resources to achieve these goals. Objectives should focus on: 1) Pursuing data sharing to enhance outreach strategies, streamlined income eligibility and income verification for CARE, ESA, and FERA programs. 2) Devise targeted marketing, outreach and enrollment opportunities for rural areas, DACs, tribal areas, etc. for low-income ratepayers who may not be enrolled in public assistance programs 3) Simplify initial enrollment and eligibility renewal experiences for customers participating in CARE, FERA, and ESA programs through data sharing and other means 4) Allow low-income ratepayers to opt into CARE, FERA and/or ESA as part of their enrollment process for programs with the same or stricter eligibility requirements such as CalFresh, Medi-Cal, TANF/CalWORKS and others. 5) Ensure the next Decision includes flexibility to implement best practices at the conclusion of the 2028 LINA or when most appropriate as determined by the Commission
9. Incorporation of learnings from Deep Energy Savings Pilot, Pilot Plus and Pilot Deep into ESA	The Deep Energy Savings Pilot, Pilot Plus, and Pilot Deep provided the opportunity to test various methods to better address the energy needs of low-income IOU customers and to apply the learns from these pilots to evolve ESA offerings and services. IOUs propose to incorporate learning from these pilots into ESA over the next program cycle.	First, all commissioned pilots must run their intended course and undergo a transparent and comprehensive evaluation to confirm pilot results. Evaluations shall consider administration practices and implementation structure as a consideration in their overall analysis. Only after completing this evaluation process should IOUs be able to act on and apply any learnings from these pilots to modify ESA.
10. New Technologies and best practices for ESA Measures	Technology moves fast and may be able to meet the goals of the ESA program faster than a program cycle allows. Additionally, studies on ESA program effectiveness are often published outside of the program cycle process and timeline.	Allow the Energy Division to have the administrative power to allow for new measures and best practices within the ESA program if there is clear evidence it will significantly reduce energy burden and/or improve the health, safety and comfort of low-income ratepayers.



Low-Income Oversight Board

Scoping Memo for the Next CARE/FERA/ESA Program Cycle Proceeding

Should you have any questions regarding these recommendations, please contact the Energy Division or the LIOB Chair at Benito.LIOB@gmail.com or 510.569.5862.

Thank you for your attention and consideration.

Respectfully,

Benito Delgado-Olson
Board Chair

Paul Irwin
Public Member

Jason Wimply
Vice Chair

Ann Kloose
Public Member

Robert Castaneda
Public Member

Lisa Castilone
Public Member

Pat Watts
Private Weatherization Contractor

Lourdes Medina
Public Member