

**PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION**505 VAN NESS AVENUE
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3298**FILED**01-17-12
02:06 PM

January 17, 2012

Agenda ID #10998
Ratemaking

TO PARTIES OF RECORD IN APPLICATION 08-05-022 et al.

This is the proposed decision of Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) Kimberly H. Kim. It will not appear on the Commission's agenda sooner than 30 days from the date it is mailed. The Commission may act then, or it may postpone action until later.

When the Commission acts on the proposed decision, it may adopt all or part of it as written, amend or modify it, or set it aside and prepare its own decision. Only when the Commission acts does the decision become binding on the parties.

Parties to the proceeding may file comments on the proposed decision as provided in Article 14 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (Rules), accessible on the Commission's website at www.cpuc.ca.gov. Pursuant to Rule 14.3, opening comments shall not exceed 15 pages.

Comments must be filed pursuant to Rule 1.13 either electronically or in hard copy. Comments should be served on parties to this proceeding in accordance with Rules 1.9 and 1.10. Electronic and hard copies of comments should be sent to ALJ Kim at kk2@cpuc.ca.gov and the assigned Commissioner. The current service list for this proceeding is available on the Commission's website at www.cpuc.ca.gov.

/s/ KAREN V. CLOPTON
Karen V. Clopton, Chief
Administrative Law Judge

KVC:jt2

Attachment

Decision **PROPOSED DECISION OF ALJ KIM (Mailed 1/17/2012)****BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

Application of Pacific Gas and Electric Company for Approval of the 2009-2011 Low Income Energy Efficiency and California Alternate Rates for Energy Programs and Budget (U39 M).	Application 08-05-022 (Filed May 15, 2008)
And Related Matters.	Application 08-05-024 Application 08-05-025 Application 08-05-026

ORDER CLOSING PROCEEDING**1. Summary**

By this order, we hereby close the above-captioned consolidated proceeding (Proceeding), which relates to the 2009-2011 Budget Applications for Low Income Energy Efficiency (now referred to as Energy Savings Assistance (ESA) Program) and California Alternate Rates for Energy of Pacific Gas and Electric Company, Southern California Edison Company, San Diego Gas & Electric Company, and Southern California Gas Company.

On January 20, 2010, an updated Joint Scoping Memo and Ruling (2010 Scoping Ruling) was issued in the Proceeding setting forth the Proceeding schedule which anticipated leaving the Proceeding open until December 31, 2011 and extended the statutory deadline by ordering it to remain open beyond the 18-month period as specified in Public Utilities Code Section 1701.5.

This extension was ordered to allow for adequate time to address several outstanding issues in the Proceeding, which included a resolution of a pending

petition to modify Decision (D.) 08-11-031 as well as oversight activities relating to several ongoing pilot programs and studies (e.g. 2009 Impact Evaluation; the 2010 Process Evaluation; the Non-Energy Benefits Study; the High Usage Needs Assessment; the Refrigerator Degradation Study; the CARE Recertification Study; etc.). Most importantly, the Commission's and Administrative Law Judge's (ALJ) ongoing direction regarding policy and protocols for these activities were anticipated to continue through the end of 2011.

On December 15, 2011, the Commission issued D.11-12-049 approving a 60-day extension until February 29, 2012 to allow for final resolution of several outstanding cycle-end issues.

All the pending matters that were identified in the 2010 Scoping Ruling, including all cycle-ending fund shifting have now been concluded, and no further issues are anticipated to be addressed as part of this docket.

2. Comments on Proposed Decision

The proposed decision of ALJ Kim in this matter was mailed to the parties in accordance with Section 311 of the Public Utilities Code and comments were allowed under Rule 14.3 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure. Comments were filed on _____, and reply comments were filed on _____ by _____.

3. Assignment of Proceeding

Timothy Alan Simon is the assigned Commissioner and Kimberly H. Kim is the assigned ALJ in this proceeding.

Findings of Fact

1. On January 20, 2010, an updated Joint Scoping Memo and Ruling was issued in the Proceeding (Application 08-05-022, et al.) setting forth the proceeding schedule which anticipated leaving the Proceeding open until

December 31, 2011 and extended the statutory deadline by ordering it to remain open beyond the 18-month period as specified in Public Utilities Code Section 1701.5.

2. On December 15, 2011, the Commission issued Decision 11-12-049 approving a 60-day extension until February 29, 2012 to allow for final resolution of several outstanding cycle-end issues.

3. These extensions were ordered to allow for adequate time to address several outstanding issues in the Proceeding.

4. All pending matters that were identified in the 2010 Scoping Ruling, including all cycle-ending fund shifting have now been concluded, and no further issues are anticipated to be addressed as part of this docket.

Conclusion of Law

With all pending matters that were identified in the 2010 Scoping Ruling, including all cycle-ending fund shifting having now been concluded, the proceeding should be closed.

IT IS ORDERED that Application (A.) 08-05-022, A.08-05-024, A.08-05-025, and A.08-05-026 are hereby closed.

This order is effective today.

Dated _____, at San Francisco, California.