



FILED

05-10-07
09:01 AM

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Order Instituting Rulemaking regarding policies, procedures and rules for the Low Income Energy Efficiency Programs of California's energy utilities.

Rulemaking 07-01-042
(Filed January 25, 2007)

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE'S RULING FINDING
GREENLINING INSTITUTE, A WORLD INSTITUTE FOR A
SUSTAINABLE HUMANITY, THE UTILITY REFORM NETWORK,
DISABILITY RIGHTS ADVOCATES, AND LATINO ISSUES
FORUM ELIGIBLE TO CLAIM COMPENSATION**

1. Summary

This ruling finds Greenlining Institute (Greenlining), A World Institute for a Sustainable Humanity (World Institute), The Utility Reform Network (TURN), Disability Rights Advocates, and Latino Issues Forum (LIF) eligible to claim compensation in this proceeding pursuant to Sections 1801-1804¹ of the California Public Utilities Code, which guide the Commission's intervenor compensation program. This finding of eligibility, however, does not necessarily guarantee an award of compensation.

The requirements of Sections 1801-1804 relevant to notices of intent to claim compensation are addressed below.

¹ All statutory references in this ruling are to California Public Utilities Code.

2. Timeliness of the Notices of Intent

Section 1804(a)(1) requires an intervenor who intends to seek a compensation award to file a notice of intent to claim compensation within 30 days after the prehearing conference, if any is held.

A prehearing conference was held in this proceeding on March 7, 2007. The intervenors filed timely notices of intent: Greenlining – on March 19, 2007; World Institute – on April 4, 2007; LIF – on April 5, 2007, and TURN and Disability Rights Advocates – on April 6, 2007.

3. Customer Status

In a notice of intent “a participant [i.e., an intervenor] should state how it meets the definition of a customer...” (Decision (D.)98-04-059, p. 88.) According to Section 1802(b)(1), “customer” means any of the following: a participant representing consumers, a representative authorized by a customer, or a representative of a group or organization that is authorized by its bylaws or articles of incorporation to represent the interests of residential or certain small commercial customers. Additionally, intervenor groups or organizations are required to indicate the percentage of their membership that are residential ratepayers. (D.98-04-059, p. 83.)

As discussed below, each of these intervenors is a customer, as defined in Section 1802(b)(1)(C), in that it is a representative of a group or organization representing residential or small commercial customers.

Greenlining constituents are purchasers of energy services from utilities. Greenlining states that approximately 75 percent of its members are residential and 25 percent are small business customers. Greenlining’s by-laws authorize it to represent the interests of residential ratepayers.

World Institute's constituents are all residential ratepayers. World Institute's articles of incorporation authorize it to represent the interests of residential ratepayers.

TURN represents the interests of residential and small commercial customers of California utilities. TURN estimates that the vast majority of its dues-paying members are residential ratepayers. TURN's articles of incorporation authorize it to represent the interests of residential customers.

Disability Rights Advocates represents the interests of customers with disabilities. Disability Rights Advocates' articles of incorporation authorize it to represent the interests of disabled customers.

LIF represents the interests of ratepayers, especially low-income and Latinos. LIF estimates it represents a constituency that is 90 percent residential and 10 percent small business customers. LIF's bylaws authorize it to represent the interests of low income communities, Latinos and residential ratepayers, including users of energy utility services.

4. Significant Financial Hardship

Only those customers upon whom participation or intervention would impose a significant financial hardship are entitled to intervenor compensation. Section 1804(a)(2)(B) allows the customer to include a showing of significant financial hardship in the notice of intent to claim intervenor compensation. When an intervenor addresses the issue of significant financial hardship in the notice of intent, a ruling on the notice of intent shall determine whether the customer meets the standards of "significant financial hardship" set forth in Section 1802(g). (Section 1804(b)(1).)

Section 1802(g) defines "significant financial hardship" as inability of the customer to "afford, without undue hardship, to pay the costs of effective

participation, including advocate's fees, expert witness fees, and other reasonable costs of participation." The statute provides that in the case of a group or organization, the "significant financial hardship" standard is met when the economic interest of the individual members of the organization is small in comparison to the costs of effective participation in the proceeding.

(Section 1802(g).)

Greenling's, TURN's, Disability Rights Advocates' and LIF's showings of significant financial hardship are made in their notices of intent. World Institute elected to show significant financial hardship in its request for an award of compensation.

4.1 Greenling

Greenlining asserts that participation in this proceeding would pose a significant financial hardship pursuant to Section 1804(b)(1), which states in part,

A finding of significant financial hardship shall create a rebuttable presumption of eligibility for compensation in other commission proceedings commencing within one year of the date of that finding.

Greenlining refers to the Joint Commissioner Ruling dated April 1, 2004, in Investigation (I.)04-02-007. Unfortunately, the prior finding cannot support a rebuttable presumption now; this rulemaking commenced on January 25, 2007, which is almost three years after the date of issuance of the ruling to which Greenlining refers.

In order to qualify for compensation, Greenlining must provide a substantive showing of significant financial hardship, pursuant to Section 1802(g). It may do so in its request for compensation. If during the intervening period the Commission makes a finding of significant financial hardship in another proceeding, Greenlining may ask us to apply a rebuttable presumption pursuant to Section 1804(b)(1).

4.2 TURN

TURN refers to a finding of significant financial hardship in a ruling issued on November 15, 2006, in I.06-06-014. Because this proceeding commenced within one year of the date of the prior finding of significant financial hardship regarding TURN, that finding applies to TURN's participation in this proceeding pursuant to Section 1804(b)(1).

4.3 Disability Rights Advocates

Disability Rights Advocates contends that its participation in this proceeding constitutes significant financial hardship, as described in Section 1802(g). The intervenor asserts that it represents the interests of low income households with a disabled family member and that the economic interest of individual members of that group is small when compared to the costs of effective participation in this proceeding.

Disability Rights Advocates states that it relies upon awards of attorney's fees as well as donations, and that it does not accept fees from its clients and receives no government funding. Disability Rights Advocates notes that while it also receives grants for certain special projects, no funds from any sources have been obtained to directly support its participation in this proceeding. Disability Rights Advocates explain that absent eligibility for intervenor compensation, it would not have adequate resources to advocate for people with disabilities.

The above representations constitute a sufficient showing of significant financial hardship in this proceeding and establish that Disability Rights Advocates meets the standard set in Section 1802(g).

4.4 LIF

LIF addresses the issue of significant financial hardship pursuant to the provisions of Section 1802(g). It explains that the savings it is able to provide to

individual low-income customers are quite small compared to the costs of its participation.

We find that LIF meets the standard set in Section 1802(g).

5. Nature and Extent of Participation

An intervenor must address its “planned participation in the proceeding.” (Sections 1804(a)(2)(A)(i).) An intervenor also must show that it will represent customer interests that would otherwise be underrepresented. (D.98-04-059, p. 83.)

The intervenors’ statements of nature and extent of their planned participation in the proceeding, generally, fall within the scope of this proceeding. Intervenors are responsible to stay informed about possible changes in the scope of this proceeding, and if any occur, to reconsider the degree of the intervenor’s involvement. Intervenors’ work on issues that are outside the scope of this proceeding will not be compensated.

5.1 Greenlining

Greenlining expects to be active in the following aspects of the proceeding: (1) the Low Income Energy Efficiency program objectives to serve the need of target communities; (2) goal-based budgeting strategies; (3) processes for considering program improvements between utility budget cycles; (4) the relationship of this rulemaking to the California Solar Initiative; (5) the integration of Low Income Energy Efficiency programs with other energy efficiency programs; and (6) the impact of renter access, water conservation programs and gas furnace programs on underserved communities, low-income and minority communities.

Greenlining asserts that it will represent the interests of low-income, non-English speaking, and other consumers that would otherwise be

underrepresented. Greenlining indicates that it has not yet formulated a complete plan regarding the nature and extent of its participation. Greenlining also notes that it will seek to coordinate with other intervenors to avoid duplication of effort.

5.2 World Institute

World Institute intends to participate fully in this proceeding. World Institute asserts that it brings to this proceeding unique perspectives and expertise on low-income programs, renewables, water, and sustainability. World Institute plans to not only expand upon existing programs in terms of potential dollars, but also participate in the areas of “green” technologies, partnering with low-income communities, reducing greenhouse gases, involving renters and master-meter customers, and coordinating with the California Solar Initiative and existing non-low-income energy efficiency efforts. World Institute explains that it may mean addressing health and safety issues of participating low-income households, integrating environmental justice and pollution prevention with programs and strategies for hard-to-reach eligible populations.

Some of the issues World Institute intends to address, such as renewables, green technologies, sustainability, and environmental justice appear to be outside the scope of this proceeding, which would make them ineligible for compensation. World Institute amended its notice of intent on April 10, 2007, in ways that appear to synchronize it plans to analyze with the articulated scope of issues in this proceeding.

5.3 TURN

TURN expects to be active in some, but not all, phases of the rulemaking. It expects to be active in the issue of Low Income Energy Efficiency program objectives and goals, in workshops on the utilities’ implementation of Assembly

Bill (AB) 2104 and renter access to Low Income Energy Efficiency. However, in AB 2104 issues, TURN sees its role as monitoring, rather than active. TURN expects to consider the extent to which Low Income Energy Efficiency should be coordinated with the California Solar Initiative, the energy efficiency programs, and water conservation activities. TURN also expects to monitor cost-benefit models, goals-based budgeting, and evaluation, measurement and verification (EM&V) issues. TURN does not anticipate addressing mid-cycle program improvements, natural gas appliance testing, and Low Income Energy Efficiency management and administration.

TURN asserts that it is the only party specifically representing residential and small commercial ratepayers' interests, because the Division of Ratepayer Advocates (DRA) represents the interests of all ratepayers. There are, however, four other intervenors in this proceeding representing the interests of residential customers, with three of them representing also small commercial ratepayers. In this regard, TURN indicates that it will coordinate closely with DRA and other intervenors to avoid unnecessary duplication of effort.

5.4 Disability Rights Advocates

In the current phase of the proceeding, Disability Rights Advocates plans to focus on concerns of its unique constituency, such as Low Income Energy Efficiency program objectives and goals, targeted outreach to person with disabilities to increase the energy efficiency penetration rate among that community, accessible formats for materials, and any other disability-related concerns.

Disability Rights Advocates states that it is likely to be the only intervenor specifically representing the interests of people with disabilities.

Disability Rights Advocates observes that some of the issues facing people with disabilities are common to all low-income customers. If feasible, and in order to avoid any undue duplication, Disability Rights Advocates will seek to coordinate its efforts with other intervenors to the extent that they share the same position. Disability Rights Advocates states that it is already coordinating with the DRA and TURN to identify common issues of concern and to work efficiently to address these issues.

5.5 LIF

LIF plans to participate fully in this proceeding. It intends to advocate for Low Income Energy Efficiency program accessibility to low-income ratepayers, renters, master-metered customers, and transient customers. LIF also plans to advocate for coordination of Low Income Energy Efficiency programs with the California Alternative Rates for Energy (CARE) program, California Solar Initiative, and other low-income programs.

LIF notes that, because many of the customer groups seek similar goals, it will seek to coordinate its participation in order to prevent unnecessary duplication of efforts.

6. Itemized Estimate of Compensation

The intervenor must provide an “itemized estimate of the compensation that the customer expects to request, given the likely duration of the proceeding as it appears at the time.” (Section 1804(a)(2)(A) (ii).) Rule 17.1(c) also requires that the notice of intent separately state the expected budget for participating on each issue. The category of general costs not attributable to a particular issue may also be included. Rule 17.1(c).

6.1 Greenlining

Greenlining provides the following rough estimate of the expenses:

Description	Amount
Attorney Robert Gnaizda (150 hrs at \$520/hr)	\$ 78,000
Attorney Thalia N.C. Gonzalez (300 hrs at \$215/hr)	\$ 64,500
Attorney Mark Rutledge (200 hrs at \$150/hr)	\$ 30,000
Subtotal	\$172,500
Outside experts and consultants	\$ 40,00
Other costs (postage, copies, deliveries, supplies, etc.)	\$ 2,500
Travel	\$ 1,000
TOTAL	\$219,500

Greenlining plans to allocate 650 hours of attorneys' time to matters in this proceeding plus spend \$40,000 on consultants. Greenlining's budget seems excessive in light of Greenlining's interests, the likelihood that hearings will not be conducted in the proceeding and the scope of the proceeding. Greenlining must justify its request for compensation, including the reasonableness of the attorney and expert hours spent and hourly rates.

6.2 World Institute

World Institute provides the following rough estimate of its expenses:

Description	Amount
Attorney Susan E. Brown (55 hours at \$400/hour)	\$22,000
<u>Expert/Policy Analysts:</u>	
Michael Karp (85 hours at \$175/hr)	\$14,875
Chuck Ebert (20 hours at \$85/hr)	\$ 1,700
Incidental costs (postage, copies, etc.)	\$ 800
Hearing and travel costs	\$ 3,900
TOTAL	\$43,275

World Institute's April 10, 2007 amendment to the notice of intent provides the following expected budget for participating on each issue:

Issues	% Budget	Brown	Karp	Ebert
LIEE program objectives, goals and priorities, including budget, equity, access needs assessment, new technology, and program leveraging with community based organization, health and safety	20%	\$4,400	\$2,975	
Program access for renters and master-metered customers, including mobile home park customers	10%	\$2,200	\$1,487.50	
Coordination with California Solar Initiative	10%	\$2,200	\$1,487.50	
Best-practices, renewable technologies, linkages to sustainability as it relates to low-income households and greenhouse gas reduction/cost-benefit models	20%	\$4,400	\$2,975	
Integration with other energy efficiency programs, including water conservation	15%	\$3,300	\$2,231.25	
Program management, administration, cost-benefit analyses, including program leveraging with community-based organizations/EM&V	10%	\$2,200	\$1,487.50	
General, procedural, case management	15%	\$3,300	\$ 2,231.25	\$1,700
Total expert attorney estimate		\$ 22,000	\$14,875	\$1,700

World Institute's estimates appear to be reasonable. As any intervenor, World Institute will need to fully support its request for compensation, including the reasonableness of the hours spent and hourly rates.

6.3 TURN

TURN provides the following estimates of the expenses:

Attorney/Category	Estimated Time & Rates	Estimated Cost
--------------------------	-----------------------------------	-----------------------

Hayley Goodson, Attorney	100 hrs at \$195/hour	\$19,500
Robert Finkelstein, Attorney	5 hrs at \$400/hour	\$ 2,000
Marcel Hawiger, Attorney	5 hrs at \$280/hour	\$ 1,400
Consultant	20 hrs at \$140/hour	\$ 2,800
Other direct expenses		\$ 300
TOTAL	130 hours	\$26,000

TURN provides the following budget for each issue:

Issue	Estimated Percent of Budget
LIEE goals and objectives	40%
Goals-based budgeting	10%
Cost-benefit models	10%
Coordination with the California Solar Initiative program	5%
EM&V	10%
Integration of LIEE with EE programs	15%
Renter access to LIEE	5%
Water conservation as part of LIEE	5%

TURN's provides, in general, reasonable estimates. When TURN ultimately seeks compensation, TURN must fully support its claims, including the reasonableness of the time spent and hourly rates.

6.4 Disability Rights Advocates

Disability Rights Advocates plans the following budget:

Attorney/Category	Amount
Melissa Kasnitz, attorney (60 hours at \$390 per hour)	\$23,400
Mary-Lee Kimber, attorney (100 hours at \$190 per hour)	\$19,000
Law Clerk (25 hours at \$100 per hour)	\$ 2,500

R.07-01-042 KLM/eap

Costs	\$ 2,000
TOTAL	\$46,900

Disability Rights Advocates states the following expected budget for participating on each issue:

Issue	Percent of Time
<u>General/Procedural/Case Management</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Reviewing Commission Ruling • Reviewing parties' filings • Coordinating with other consumer groups • General case management 	20%
<u>LIEE Program Objectives and Priorities</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Defining program objectives and goals (especially social program aspects) • Strategies for goal implementation (in context of persons with disabilities) 	30%
<u>LIEE Goal-Based Budgeting</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Defining the universe of LIEE participants • Prioritizing target populations 	20%
<u>LIEE Program Access for Renters</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Outreach to renters with disabilities • Incentives for landlords to participate 	20%
<u>Other LIEE Issues</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Evaluate whether these issues (as they proceed) involved concerns of low income customers and persons with disabilities • Possible participation, if necessary 	10%

Disability Rights Advocates' estimates appear to be reasonable. At the time of the intervenor compensation request, Disability Rights Advocates, as any intervenor, must fully support the reasonableness of the hours, spent, hourly rates, and other costs it incurred in this proceeding.

6.5 LIF

LIF's estimated costs of participation are as follows:

Attorney/Category	Estimated Amount
Enrique Gallardo, Attorney (300 hours at \$295/hour)	\$88,500
Incidental costs (postage, copies, deliveries, etc.)	\$ 750
Travel and hearing costs	\$ 750
TOTAL	\$90,000

LIF also states the following expected budget for each issue:

Issues	Percent and Budget
<u>General Cost/Case Management</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Review Commission documents Review parties' filing Review settlements 	10% - \$9,000
<u>Evaluation of LIEE goals</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Expansion of LIEE budget Definitions of target households Standard of program delivery 	20% - \$18,000
<u>Program design to assure accessibility</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Accessibility to all low-income ratepayers Accessibility to renters Accessibility to transient ratepayers 	20% - \$18,000
<u>Accessibility to master-metered customers</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Implementation of AB 2104 	10% - \$9,000
<u>Coordination with CARE, other programs</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Coordination of eligibility processes Coordination of assign proper LIEE services 	10% - \$9,000
<u>Coordination with California Solar Initiative Program</u>	10% - \$9,000
<u>Increased focus on water conservation</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Synergies in water and energy conservation 	10% - \$9,000

• Benefits to customers	
<u>Appropriate outreach to ensure full participation</u>	10% - \$9,000
TOTAL	100% - \$90,000

LIF's itemized estimates of attorney's time appear excessive. At the time of LIF's intervenor compensation claims, LIF must provide a thorough justification for the hours spent, hourly rate, and costs of the proceeding.

7. Duplication of Effort

Section 1801.3(f) requires the Commission to administer the intervenor compensation program in a manner that "avoids unproductive or unnecessary participation of similar interests otherwise adequately represented...." A review of the NOIs filed in this proceeding suggests there may be considerable overlap in the intervenors' interests, expertise and issue areas. We expect intervenors to coordinate their work with each other and other parties where feasible to avoid duplication and to distinguish contributions from those of other parties in requests for compensation. (D.06-12-041, pp. 13-14.) The Commission may deny compensation requests to the extent they seek awards for unnecessary duplication.

IT IS RULED that:

1. Greenlining Institute is a customer as that term is defined in Section 1802(b)(1)(C) and has met the eligibility requirements of Section 1804(a).
2. Greenlining Institute did not demonstrate significant financial hardship in its notice of intent. Greenlining Institute must make such a showing in its request for intervenor compensation, as set forth in this ruling, to be eligible for an award.
3. A World Institute for a Sustainable Humanity is a customer as that term is defined in Section 1802(b)(1)(C) and has met the eligibility requirements of

Section 1804(a), except that it has not provided a showing of significant financial hardship in its notice of intent.

4. The Utility Reform Network (TURN) is a customer as that term is defined in Section 1802(b)(1)(C) and has met the eligibility requirements of Section 1804(a). TURN has established by rebuttable presumption a significant financial hardship in this proceeding, as set forth in Section 1804(b)(1).

5. Disability Rights Advocates is a customer as that term is defined in Section 1802(b)(1)(C), has met the eligibility requirements of Section 1804(a) and established significant financial hardship in this proceeding, pursuant to Section 1802(g).

6. Latino Issues Form (LIF) is a customer as that term is defined in Section 1802(b)(1)(C) and has met the eligibility requirements of Section 1804(a). LIF established by rebuttable presumption a significant financial hardship in this proceeding, as set forth in Section 1802(g).

Dated May 10, 2007, at San Francisco, California.

/s/ KIM MALCOLM
Kim Malcolm
Administrative Law Judge

INFORMATION REGARDING SERVICE

I have provided notification of filing to the electronic mail addresses on the attached service list.

Upon confirmation of this document's acceptance for filing, I will cause a Notice of Availability of the filed document to be served upon the service list to this proceeding by U.S. mail. The service list I will use to serve the Notice of Availability of the filed document is current as of today's date.

Dated May 10, 2007, at San Francisco, California.

/s/ ERLINDA PULMANO

Erlinda Pulmano

***** SERVICE LIST *****

Last Update on 09-MAY-2007 by: SMJ
R0701042 LIST

***** APPEARANCES *****

Susan E. Brown
A WORLD INSTITUTE FOR SUSTAINABLE HUMANITY
PO BOX 428
MILL VALLEY CA 94942
(415) 686-0883
sebesq@comcast.net
For: A World Institute for Sustainable Humanity

James Hodges
ACCES
1069 45TH STREET
SACRAMENTO CA 95819
(916) 451-7011
hodgesjl@surewest.net

Michael Lamond
ALPINE NATURAL GAS OPERATING COMPANY
PO BOX 550
15 ST. ANDREWS ROAD, SUITE 7
VALLEY SPRINGS CA 95252
(209) 772-3006
anginc@golldrush.com

Edward G. Poole
Attorney At Law
ANDERSON & POOLE
601 CALIFORNIA STREET, SUITE 1300
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94108-2818
(415) 956-6413
epoole@adplaw.com
For: Western Manufactured Housing Communities Association

Gregory Redican
Deputy Director
COMMUNITY ACTION AGENCY OF SAN MATEO
930 BRITTAN AVENUE
SAN CARLOS CA 94070
(650) 595-1342
gredican@caasm.org

Carolyn Cox
General Manager
5213 ROSEANA COURT
FAIR OAKS CA 95628
(916) 961-9478
carolyncox2@sbcglobal.net

Melissa W. Kasnitz
Attorney At Law
DISABILITY RIGHTS ADVOCATES
2001 CENTER STREET, THIRD FLOOR
BERKELEY CA 94704-1204
(510) 665-8644
pucservice@dralegal.org

William W. Westerfield, 111
Attorney At Law
ELLISON, SCHNEIDER & HARRIS L.L.P.
2015 H STREET
SACRAMENTO CA 95814
(916) 447-2166
www@eslawfirm.com
For: Sierra Pacific Power Company

Ronald Moore
GOLDEN STATE WATER/BEAR VALLEY
ELECTRIC
630 EAST FOOTHILL BOULEVARD
SAN DIMAS CA 91773
(909) 394-3600 X 682
rkmoore@gswater.com

Bill Julian
43556 ALMOND LANE
DAVIS CA 95618
(530) 758-8882
billjulian@sbcglobal.net

Enrique Gallardo
LATINO ISSUES FORUM
160 PINE STREET, SUITE 700
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94111
(415) 547-7550
enriqueg@lif.org

Alex Sotomayor
MARAVILLE FOUNDATION
5729 UNION PACIFIC AVENUE
LOS ANGELES CA 90022
(323) 869-4501
alexsot@aol.com

John Dutcher
Vice President - Regulatory Affairs
MOUNTAIN UTILITIES
3210 CORTE VALENCIA
FAIRFIELD CA 94534-7875
(707) 426-4003
ralf1241a@cs.com

Mary - Lee Kimber
Attorney At Law
DISABILITY RIGHTS ADVOCATES
2001 CENTER STREET, 3RD FLOOR
BERKELEY CA 94704-1204
(510) 665-8644
pucservice@dralegal.org

Don Wood Sr.
PACIFIC ENERGY POLICY CENTER
4539 LEE AVENUE
LA MESA CA 91941
(619) 463-9035
dwood8@cox.net

Chonda J. Nwamu
PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY
POST OFFICE BOX 7442
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94120
(415) 973-6650
cjn3@pge.com

Craig M. Buchsbaum
Attorney At Law
PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY
77 BEALE STREET, B30A
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94105
(415) 973-4844
cmb3@pge.com

Josephine Wu
PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY
PO BOX 770000, MAIL CODE B9A
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94177
(415) 973-3414
jwwd@pge.com

Luke Tougas
PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY
PO BOX 770000, MC B9A
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94177
(415) 973-3610
LATc@pge.com

Peter Ouborg
PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY
PO BOX 7442, B30A
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94120-7442
(415) 973-2286
pxo2@pge.com

Ryan Flynn
Attorney
PACIFICORP
825 NE MULTNOMAH, SUITE 1800
PORTLAND OR 97232
(503) 813-5854

Shayleah Labray
PACIFICORP
825 NE MULTNOMAH, SUITE 2000
PORTLAND OR 97232
(503) 813-6176
Shayleah.LaBray@Pacifcorp.Com

Rashid A. Rashid
Legal Division
RM. 4107
505 VAN NESS AVE
San Francisco CA 94102 3298
(415) 703-2705
rhd@cpuc.ca.gov

Kim F. Hassan
Attorney At Law
SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY
101 ASH STREET, HQ-12
SAN DIEGO CA 92101
(619) 699-5097
khassan@sempira.com
For: Southern California Gas Company & San Diego
Gas Electric Company

Lisa G. Urick
Attorney At Law
SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY
101 ASH STREET
SAN DIEGO CA 92101
(619) 699-5070
Lurick@sempira.com

Elena Mello
SIERRA PACIFIC POWER COMPANY
6100 NEIL ROAD
RENO NV 89520
(775) 834-5696
emello@sierrapacific.com

Michael Montoya
Senior Attorney
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON
2244 WALNUT GROVE AVE.
ROSEMEAD CA 91770
montoym1@sce.com

Stacie Schaffer
Attorney At Law
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON
2244 WALNUT GROVE AVE.
ROSEMEAD CA 91770
(626) 302-3712
Stacie.Schaffer@sce.com

ryan.flynn@pacificorp.com

Michael R. Thorp
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GAS COMPANY
555 WEST FIFTH STREET, GT-14E7
LOS ANGELES CA 90013-1011
(213) 244-2981
mthorp@sempira.com

Bobbi J. Sterrett
Specialist/State Regulatory Affairs
SOUTHWEST GAS CORPORATION
PO BOX 98510
LAS VEGAS NV 89150-0002
(702) 364-3309
bobbi.sterrett@swgas.com

Francisco V. Aguilar
Attorney At Law
SOUTHWEST GAS CORPORATION
5241 SPRING MOUNTAIN ROAD
LAS VEGAS NV 89193
(702) 876-7183
francisco.aguilar@swgas.com

Valerie J. Ontiveroz
SOUTHWEST GAS CORPORATION
PO BOX 98510
LAS VEGAS NV 89193-8510
(702) 876-7323
valerie.ontiveroz@swgas.com

Richard Villasenor
TELACU
12252 MC CANN DRIVE
SANTA FE SPRINGS CA 90670
(562) 777-1142
richvilla4@hotmail.com

Thalia N.C. Gonzalez
ROBERT GNAIZDA
THE GREENLINING INSTITUTE
1918 UNIVERSITY AVENUE, 2ND FLR.
BERKELEY CA 94704
(510) 926-4002
thaliag@greenlining.org

Hayley Goodson
Attorney At Law
THE UTILITY REFORM NETWORK
711 VAN NESS AVENUE, SUITE 350
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94102
(415) 929-8876
hayley@turn.org

Raymond J. Czahar, C.P.A.
Chief Financial Officer
WEST COAST GAS COMPANY
9203 BEATTY DRIVE
SACRAMENTO CA 95826
(916) 364-4100
westgas@aol.com

Irene K. Moosen
Attorney At Law
WESTERN MANUFACTURED HOUSING COMM.
SVCS.
53 SANTA YNEZ AVENUE
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94112
(415) 587-7343
irene@igc.org

***** STATE EMPLOYEE *****

Zaida Amaya-Pineda
Energy Division
770 L STREET, SUITE 1050
Sacramento CA 95814
(916) 324-8684
zca@cpuc.ca.gov

Mariana C. Campbell
Division of Ratepayer Advocates
RM. 4101
505 VAN NESS AVE
San Francisco CA 94102 3298
(415) 703-2731
mcl@cpuc.ca.gov

Melicia Charles
Energy Division
AREA 4-A
505 VAN NESS AVE
San Francisco CA 94102 3298
(415) 355-5502
mvc@cpuc.ca.gov

Jeannine Elzey
Energy Division
AREA 4-A
505 VAN NESS AVE
San Francisco CA 94102 3298
(415) 703-2801
jme@cpuc.ca.gov

Hazlyn Fortune
Energy Division
AREA 4-A
505 VAN NESS AVE

San Francisco CA 94102 3298
(415) 703-1809
hcf@cpuc.ca.gov

Robert Kinoshian
Division of Ratepayer Advocates
RM. 4205
505 VAN NESS AVE
San Francisco CA 94102 3298
(415) 703-1500
gig@cpuc.ca.gov
For: DRA

Sarita Sarvate
Energy Division
AREA 4-A
505 VAN NESS AVE
San Francisco CA 94102 3298
(415) 703-5574
sbs@cpuc.ca.gov

Alik Lee
Division of Ratepayer Advocates
RM. 4101
505 VAN NESS AVE
San Francisco CA 94102 3298
(415) 703-2050
ayo@cpuc.ca.gov

Terrie J. Tannehill
Energy Division
AREA 4A
505 VAN NESS AVE
San Francisco CA 94102 3298
(415) 703-1224
tjt@cpuc.ca.gov

Robert Lehman
Division of Ratepayer Advocates
RM. 4102
505 VAN NESS AVE
San Francisco CA 94102 3298
(415) 703-2245
leh@cpuc.ca.gov

Joseph Wanzala
Division of Ratepayer Advocates
RM. 4101
505 VAN NESS AVE
San Francisco CA 94102 3298
(415) 703-1185
jcw@cpuc.ca.gov

Kim Malcolm
Administrative Law Judge Division
RM. 5005
505 VAN NESS AVE
San Francisco CA 94102 3298
(415) 703-2822
kim@cpuc.ca.gov

Sean Wilson
Water Division
AREA 3-C
505 VAN NESS AVE
San Francisco CA 94102 3298
(415) 703-1818
smw@cpuc.ca.gov

Michaela Pangilinan
Energy Division
AREA 4-A
505 VAN NESS AVE
San Francisco CA 94102 3298
(415) 703-1816
wow@cpuc.ca.gov

***** INFORMATION ONLY *****

Michael Mazur
Chief Technical Officer
3 PHASES ENERGY SERVICES, LLC
2100 SEPULVEDA BLVD., SUITE 38
MANHATTAN BEACH CA 90266
(310) 798-5275
mmazur@3phases.com

Sarvjit S. Randhawa
Energy Division
AREA 4-A
505 VAN NESS AVE
San Francisco CA 94102 3298
(415) 703-2274
ssr@cpuc.ca.gov

Paul Delaney
AMERICAN UTILITY NETWORK (A.U.N.)
10705 DEER CANYON DRIVE
ALTA LOMA CA 91737
(805) 390-5632
pssed@adelphia.net

Thomas M. Renaghan
Division of Ratepayer Advocates

Dave Stephenson

RM. 4205
505 VAN NESS AVE
San Francisco CA 94102 3298
(415) 703-2107
tmr@cpuc.ca.gov

David J. Coyle
ANZA ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE, INC
58470 HIGHWAY 371
ANZA CA 92539-1909
(909) 763-4333

Don Stoneberger
APS ENERGY SERVICES
SUITE 750
400 E. VAN BUREN STREET
PHOENIX AZ 85004
(602) 744-5007

Ryan Bernardo
BRAUN & BLAISING, P.C.
915 L STREET, SUITE 1270
SACRAMENTO CA 95814
(916) 837-8480
bernardo@braunlegal.com

Robert J. Bicker
Legislative Analyst
CALIFORNIA APARTMENT ASSOCIATION
980 NINTH STREET, SUITE 200
SACRAMENTO CA 95814
(800) 967-4222
rbicker@caanet.org

Rob Gunnin
Vice President Supply
COMMERCE ENERGY, INC.
600 ANTON BLVD., SUITE 2000
COSTA MESA CA 92626
(714) 259-2502
rgunnin@commerceenergy.com

Gregory J. Kosier
Portfolio Manager
CONSTELLATION NEWENRGY, INC.
350 SOUTH GRND AVENUE, 38TH FLOOR
LOS ANGELES CA 90071
(213) 996-6116
Gregory.Kosier@constellation.com

Maria Y. Juarez
Deputy Director
DEPARTMENT OF COUMMINTY ACTION
RIVERSIDE COUNTY
2038 IOWA AVENUE, SUITE B-102
RIVERSIDE CA 92507

Rate Regulation Manager - Western Regio
AMERICAN WATER WORKS SERVICE CO.
4701 BELOIT DRIVE
SACRAMENTO CA 95838
(916) 568-4260
dstephenson@amwater.com

Jason Wimbley
Division Chief, Energy&Environ Programs
DEPT. OF COMMUNITY SERVICES &
DEVELOPMEN
700 NORTH 10TH STREET, ROOM 258
SACRAMENTO CA 95814
(916) 341-4356
jwimbley@csd.ca.gov

Ortensia Lopez
Executive Director
EL CONCILIO OF SAN MATEO
1419 BURLINGAME AVE., SUITE N
BURLINGAME CA 94010
(650) 373-1087
or10sia@aol.com

Janine L. Scancarelli
Attorney At Law
FOLGER, LEVIN & KAHN, LLP
275 BATTERY STREET, 23RD FLOOR
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94111
(415) 986-2800
jscancarelli@flk.com

Joseph F. Wiedman
Attorney At Law
GOODIN MACBRIDE SQUERI DAY & LAMPREY
LLP
505 SANSOME STREET, SUITE 900
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94111
(415) 392-7900
jwiedman@goodinmacbride.com

Jody S. London
JODY LONDON CONSULTING
PO BOX 3629
OAKLAND CA 94609
(510) 459-0667
jody_london_consulting@earthlink.net

Richard Mccann
M.CUBED
2655 PORTAGE BAY ROAD, SUITE 3
DAVIS CA 95616
(530) 757-6363
rmccann@umich.edu

Kyle Maetani
MK PLANNING CONSULTANTS

(951) 955-3563
mjuarez@riversidedpss.org

Bob Hondeville
MODESTO IRRIGATION DISTRICT
1231 11TH STREET
MODESTO CA 95354
(209) 526-7373
bobho@mid.org
Joy Warren
Attorney At Law
MODESTO IRRIGATION DISTRICT
1231 11TH STREET
MODESTO CA 95354
(209) 526-7389
joyw@mid.org

John Jensen
President
MOUNTAIN UTILITIES
PO BOX 205
KIRKWOOD CA 95646
(209) 258-7444
jjensen@kirkwood.com

John Newcomb
696 SOUTH TIPPECANOE AVENUE
SAN BERNARDINO CA 92415
jnewc@capsbc.sbcounty.gov
For: Community Action Partnership of San Bernardino
County

Linda Fontes
PACIFIC GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY
123 MISSION ROOM 1404 MC H14F
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94105
(415) 973-6239
lcf2@pge.com

Roland Risser
Director, Customer Energy Efficiency
PACIFIC GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY
MAIL CODE N6G
PO BOX 770000
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94177
(415) 973-8437
rjrb@pge.com

Brian K. Cherry
Director Regulatory Relations
PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY
77 BEALE STREET, B10C
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94106
(415) 973-7226
bkc7@pge.com

2740 W. MAGNOLIA BLVD., STE 103
BURBANK CA 91505
(818) 846-6272
kmaetani@aol.com

Frances L. Thompson
PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY
123 MISSION STREET, RM. 1408 MC H14G
SAN FRANCISCO CA 95177
(415) 973-2486
flt2@pge.com

Law Department File Room
PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY
PO BOX 7442
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94120-7442
cpuccases@pge.com

Mary O'Drain
PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY
123 MISSION - ROOM 1410, MC H14G
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94177
(415) 973-2317
mjob@pge.com

Marisa Decristoforo
PACIFICORP
825 NE MULTNOMAH STREET, SUITE 800
PORTLAND OR 97232
(503) 813-6084
marisa.decrisoforo@pacificorp.com

Ryan Flynn
PACIFICORP
825 NE MULTNOMAH STREET
PORTLAND OR 97232
(503) 813-5854
ryan.flynn@pacificorp.com

Robert Marshall
PLUMAS SIERRA RURAL ELECTRIC
PO BOX 2000
PORTOLA CA 96122-2000
(916) 832-4261

Richard Polanco
Senator
3701 GLENALBY DRIVE
LOS ANGELES CA 90065
(323) 343-8702
Senpolanco22@aol.com

Tom Hamilton
Energy Program Manager
QUALITY BUILT
15330 AVENUE OF SCIENCE
SAN DIEGO CA 92128
(800) 547-5125
thamilton@qualitybuilt.com

Rick C. Noger
PRAXAIR PLAINFIELD, INC.
2678 BISHOP DRIVE
SAN RAMON CA 94583
(925) 866-6809
rick_noger@praxair.com

Allan Rago
QUALITY CONSERVATION SERVICES, INC.
SUITE E
4701 ARROW HIGHWAY
MONTCLAIR CA 91763
(909) 445-0450
arago@qcsca.com

Central Files
Regulatory Affairs
SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC CO.
8330 CENTURY PARK COURT-CP31E
SAN DIEGO CA 92123-1530
(858) 654-1766
CentralFiles@semprautilities.com

M. Sami Khawaja, Ph.D
QUANTEC, LLC
SUITE 400
720 SW WASHINGTON STREET
PORTLAND OR 97205
(503) 228-2992
Sami.Khawaja@quantecllc.com

Steve Rahon
Director, Tariff & Regulatory Accounts
SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY
8330 CENTURY PARK COURT, CP32C
SAN DIEGO CA 92123-1548
lschavrien@semprautilities.com

Ron Garcia
RELIABLE ENERGY MANAGEMENT, INC.
6250 PARAMOUNT BLVD.
LONG BEACH CA 90805
(562) 984-5511
ron@releenergy.com

Yole Whiting
SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY
8335 CENTURY PARK COURT
SAN DIEGO CA 92123
(858) 654-1274
ywhiting@semprautilities.com

Pamela L. Gorsuch
Program Manager
RHA INC
1026 MANGROVE AVE., SUITE 20
CHICO CA 95926
pamela@rhainc.com

Joy C. Yamagata
SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC/SOCALGAS
8330 CENTURY PARK COURT
SAN DIEGO CA 91910
(858) 654-1755
jyamagata@semprautilities.com

Joe Williams
Ceo
RICHARD HEATH AND ASSOCIATES, INC.
590 W. LOCUST AVENUE, STE 103
FRESNO CA 93650
(559) 447-7000
joe@rhainc.com

Greg Bass
SEMPRA ENERGY SOLUTIONS
101 ASH STREET. HQ09
SAN DIEGO CA 92101-3017
(619) 696-3177
gbass@semprasolutions.com

Kristine Lucero
Project Coordinator
RICHARD HEATH AND ASSOCIATES, INC.
590 W. LOCUST AVENUE, SUITE 103
FRESNO CA 93650
(559) 447-7000
kristine@rhainc.com

Larry Rackley
SIERRA PACIFIC POWER CO.
PO BOX 10100
RENO NV 89520
(775) 834-4801
lrackley@sppc.com

Mary O. Simmons
SIERRA PACIFIC POWER COMPANY
RATES & REGULATORY AFFAIRS

Jack Parkhill
Manager - Csbu
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON
2131 WALNUT GROVE
ROSEMEAD CA 91770
jack.parkhill@sce.com

John Fasana
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON
2131 WALNUT GROVE AVE.
ROSEMEAD CA 91770
john.fasana@sce.com

Akbar Jazayeri
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY
2241 WALNUT GROVE AVENUE
PO BOX 800
ROSEMEAD CA 91770
(626) 302-3630
akbar.jazayeri@sce.com

Case Administration
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY
LAW DEPARTMENT
2244 WALNUT GROVE AVENUE
ROSEMEAD CA 91770
(626) 302-4875
case.admin@sce.com

Central Files
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GAS COMPANY
555 W. FIFTH STREET, GT14D6
LOS ANGELES CA 90013-1011
centralfiles@semprautilities.com

Steven D. Patrick
Attorney At Law
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GAS/SDG&E
555 WEST 5TH STREET, GT14E7
LOS ANGELES CA 90013-1034
(213) 244-2954
spatrick@sempra.com

Mark A. Rutledge
THE GREENLINING INSTITUTE
1918 UNIVERSITY AVENUE, 2ND FLR.
BERKELEY CA 94704
(510) 926-4016
markr@greenlining.org

6100 NEIL ROAD, P.O. BOX 10100
RENO NV 89520
(775) 834-5870
msimmons@sierrapacific.com

Regina Costa
Research Director
THE UTILITY REFORM NETWORK
711 VAN NESS AVENUE, SUITE 350
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94102
(415) 929-8876 X312
rcosta@turn.org

Michael Shames
Attorney At Law
UTILITY CONSUMERS' ACTION NETWORK
3100 FIFTH AVENUE, SUITE B
SAN DIEGO CA 92103
(619) 696-6966
mshames@ucan.org

Sheila Dey
WESTERN MANUFACTURED HOUSING
COMMUNITIES
455 CAPITOL MALL STE 800
SACRAMENTO CA 95814
(916) 448-7002
sheila@wma.org

Ladonna Williams
Executive Director
PO BOX 5653
VALLEJO CA 94591
(707) 712-4088
zzeria@aol.com

(END OF SERVICE LIST)