

From: Wagoner, Donna L.
Sent: Thursday, February 22, 2001 1:25 PM
To: Amaya, Zaida C.; Tannehill, Terrie J.
Subject: FW: Technical Memo with NEB methods

Hi!

This appears to be the big fat memo Steve was talking about. Please make sure with Mary O'Drain or Dennis that this is for the March 6 workshop and should be attached to the notice letter for the March 6 workshp.

Thanks,
Donna

-----Original Message-----

From: Nick Hall [mailto:nphall@TecMRKT.com]
Sent: Wednesday, February 21, 2001 12:58 PM
To: O Drain, Mary; Kevin McKinley; Jim Green; Guido Dennis; Donna Wagoner; Angela Jones; Barbara Cronin; Jack Parkhill; John Fasana; Kevin McKinley; Lou Estrella; Lee Chi; Chiu Laura P; Michael Rosauer; Henry DeJesus; Josephine Webb; Calden Diane; sjr@cpuc.ca.gov; Nick Hall; Lisa Skumatz; Lori; Athena Besa; Marian Brown; Stacey Kirsten; Jeff Riggert; Tom Talerico; Sturgeon Karen A. - TPKAS
Subject: Technical Memo with NEB methods

RRM LIPPT Committee,

Attached is the draft technical memorandum on the operations of the LIPPT. This memo includes the cost and energy benefits information you have already reviewed. However, also included in this deliverable are the methods developed to identify the non-energy benefits (NEBS). These NEBs will be the primary focus of the Expert Review Conference on the 27th at the PEC. During this meeting we will go over each of the NEBs and discuss the method and data we are using and the proxy values that will be used in the LIPPT if data is unavailable. As you know, Lisa has lead the team on the development of these NEBs. Lori and I have supported her by testing and challenging and helping to refine the methods. I think you will find Lisa has done a excellent job and has covered all of the major NEBs. From the results of the Expert Review Panel on the 27th, we will finalize the calculation methods, finalize the proxy values, begin to build the final deliverables, and put this all together in a working model with an operations manual. We still have a good ways to go, but we are making excellent progress.

Caution: You will notice two different reporting styles and formats in this review draft memorandum. Lisa and I are using two different reporting formats and styles. For the review of this draft I ask that you overlook this short coming and rest assured that we will be providing documents that have the same presentation formats throughout. Because it will take our staff a couple of days to work thorough these different styles, I wanted to get the information out first, and then work on the appearance of the chapters.

Thank you and our best to you,

