

**PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION**505 VAN NESS AVENUE
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3298**FILED**05-15-09
02:21 PM

May 15, 2009

**Agenda ID #8554
Ratesetting**

TO PARTIES OF RECORD IN APPLICATION 08-05-022 ET AL.

This is the proposed decision of Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) Kimberly Kim. It will not appear on the Commission's agenda for at least 30 days after the date it is mailed. The Commission may act then, or it may postpone action until later.

When the Commission acts on the proposed decision, it may adopt all or part of it as written, amend or modify it, or set it aside and prepare its own decision. Only when the Commission acts does the decision become binding on the parties.

Parties to the proceeding may file comments on the proposed decision as provided in Article 14 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (Rules), accessible on the Commission's website at www.cpuc.ca.gov. Pursuant to Rule 14.3, opening comments shall not exceed 15 pages.

Comments must be filed either electronically pursuant to Resolution ALJ-188 or with the Commission's Docket Office. Comments should be served on parties to this proceeding in accordance with Rules 1.9 and 1.10. Electronic and hard copies of comments should be sent to ALJ Kim at kk2@cpuc.ca.gov and the assigned Commissioner. The current service list for this proceeding is available on the Commission's website at www.cpuc.ca.gov.

/s/ JANET A. ECONOME for
Karen V. Clopton, Chief
Administrative Law Judge

KVC:avs

Attachment

Decision PROPOSED DECISION OF ALJ KIM (Mailed 5/15/2009)

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Application of Pacific Gas and Electric Company for Approval of the 2009-11 Low Income Energy Efficiency and California Alternate Rates for Energy Programs and Budget (U39M).

Application 08-05-022
(Filed May 15, 2008)

And Related Matters.

Application 08-05-024
Application 08-05-025
Application 08-05-026

**DECISION CLARIFYING AND MODIFYING DECISION 08-11-031
(MODIFIED THREE MEASURE MINIMUM RULE AND AUGMENTING
ONE-E-APP PILOT PROJECT BUDGET)**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Title	Page
DECISION CLARIFYING AND MODIFYING DECISION 08-11-031 (MODIFIED THREE MEASURE MINIMUM RULE AND AUGMENTING ONE-E-APP PILOT PROJECT BUDGET)	2
1. Summary	2
2. Background.....	2
2.1. Modified “3 Measure Minimum Rule”	2
2.2. Budget Augmentation for One-E-App Pilot Project.....	4
2.3. Comments to March 2009 Ruling.....	5
3. Clarification of Modified “3 Measure Minimum Rule”	6
3.1. Joint Utilities’ Comments	6
3.2. DRA’s Comments	7
3.3. Discussion.....	9
4. Augmentation of One-E-App Pilot Project Budget	12
4.1. Joint Utilities’ Comment	12
4.2. DRA’s Comment.....	12
4.3. Discussion.....	12
5. Comments on Proposed Decision	13
6. Assignment of Proceeding	13
ORDER	15
APPENDIX 1 - Revised Attachment G to Decision 08-11-031	
APPENDIX 2 - Revised Attachment A to Decision 08-11-031	
APPENDIX 3 - Revised Attachment M to Decision 08-11-031	
APPENDIX 4 - Revised Attachment O to Decision 08-11-031	

**DECISION CLARIFYING AND MODIFYING DECISION 08-11-031
(MODIFIED THREE MEASURE MINIMUM RULE AND AUGMENTING
ONE-E-APP PILOT PROJECT BUDGET)**

1. Summary

This decision clarifies and modifies Decision (D.) 08-11-031 by clarifying and modifying the so called “3 Measure Minimum Rule” as modified by D.08-11-031 and by augmenting the One-E-App Pilot budget.

2. Background

2.1. Modified “3 Measure Minimum Rule”

In D.08-11-031,¹ the Commission modified what had commonly been referred to as the “3 Measure Minimum Rule.” Until D.08-11-031, the “3 Measure Minimum Rule” prohibited the investor owned utilities (IOUs) from installing energy savings measures in a home that did not require at least three measures. As a result of D.08-11-031, the “3 Measure Minimum Rule” was modified and expanded, resulting in a modified “3 Measure Minimum Rule” currently being applied by the IOUs in complying with D.08-11-031.

The new modified “3 Measure Minimum Rule” allowed IOUs to install one or two measures in a home, as long as the total energy savings achieved by either measure or measures combined yield(s) energy savings of at least either 125 kilowatt-hours (kWh)/annually or 25 therms/annually. The Commission discussed and outlined the new modified “3 Measure Minimum Rule” in D.08-11-031 and adopted Ordering Paragraph (OP) 47 thereto:

¹ Decision on Large Investor-Owned-Utilities’ 2009-2011 Low Income Energy Efficiency (LIEE) and California Alternate Rate for Energy (CARE) Applications, issued on November 10, 2008 in these proceedings.

We eliminate the 3 Measure Minimum rule (which prohibits IOUs from installing measures in a home that does not require at least three measures) in favor of a rule that allows IOUs to install one or two measures in a home, as long as the measures achieve energy savings of at least either 125 kWh/annually or 25 therms/annually.

Attachment G to this decision specifies, based on the data the IOUs provided with their applications, which measures qualify. [Emphasis added.]

This OP uses the term “measures.” In contrast, the referenced Attachment G to the decision sets forth a table that is mostly presented in terms of individual measures, but not completely. In fact, some of the measures on Attachment G are only presented as part of “measure groups.” In such instances, Attachment G illustrates whether the “measure groups” meet the energy savings threshold of 125 kWh/annually or 25 therms/annually, but does not illustrate whether the individual measures within those “measure groups” meet the energy savings threshold of 125 kWh/annually or 25 therms/annually. Thus, some parties interpret the directives set forth in D.08-11-031 and OP 47 to mean 3 “individual measures” while others interpret it to mean 3 “measure groups.”

To eliminate this apparent confusion, on March 20, 2009, the assigned Commissioner Dian Grueneich and the assigned Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) Kimberly Kim issued a joint ruling (March 2009 Ruling) seeking comment on the following proposed modifications to clarify D.08-11-031 on the modified “3 Measure Minimum Rule”:

1. The revised OP 47 will read as follows:

We modify the 3 Measure Minimum rule (which prohibits IOUs from installing measures in a home that does not require at least three measures) in favor of a rule that allows IOUs to install one or two measures in a home, as

long as the measures achieve energy savings of at least either 125 kWh/annually or 25 therms/annually. ~~Attachment G to this decision specifies, based on the data the IOUs provided with their applications, which measures qualify.~~

2. Attachment G to D.08-11-031 will be eliminated.
3. A new OP will be added to the modified decision and will read as follows:

In complying with OP 47 and calculating energy savings associated with each of the measures, the utilities are directed to obtain energy savings information on individual measures from the 2005 Impact Evaluation (2005 IE). If energy savings information on a particular measure is not available from the 2005 IE, the utilities are directed to obtain the energy savings information on individual measure from the DEER [Database for Energy Efficient Resources] database. If energy savings information on a particular measure is not available from the 2005 IE and DEER database, the utilities are directed to obtain the energy savings information on individual measures from the 2002 Impact Evaluation.

4. Other sections of D.08-11-031 related to OP 47 will be revised to reflect the clarifications set forth in this modified decision.

2.2. Budget Augmentation for One-E-App Pilot Project

In D.08-11-031, the Commission adopted a pilot project (One-E-App Pilot Project) and allocated funding for said project, as follows:

We adopt a One-E-App pilot to be carried out in two counties in PG&E's territory and allocate \$167,000 to cover the One-E-App portion of the pilot. We add this to PG&E's CARE budget. (OP 98.)

Since the issuance of D.08-11-031, an oversight in the One-E-App Pilot Project budget has been discovered. The budget of \$167,000 originally allocated by the Commission to cover the costs incurred by The Center to Promote Health

Care Access (The Center) for this pilot does not cover the needed maintenance costs incurred by The Center for the 1-year life of the pilot.

To correct this oversight, the March 2009 Ruling sought comments on a proposed modification to D.08-11-031 to increase the One-E-App Pilot Project budget to cover costs anticipated to be incurred by The Center for the One-E-App Pilot Project in its maintenance, including categories of tasks and breakdown of costs associated therewith.

The March 2009 Ruling set forth the following proposed modifications to D.08-11-031 to increase the One-E-App Pilot Project budget:

1. The revised OP 98 will read as follows:

We adopt a One-E-App pilot to be carried out in two counties in PG&E's territory and allocate \$____,000 to cover The Center to Promote Health Care Access' (The Center) portion of the pilot. This allocation includes \$____,000 to cover the One-E-App Pilot Project maintenance costs incurred by The Center. We add this to the PG&E CARE budget.

2. Other sections of D.08-11-031 related to OP 98, including Attachment M, will be revised to reflect the increased One-E-App Pilot Project budget, being authorized in the modified decision.

2.3. Comments to March 2009 Ruling

On April 1, 2009, Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E), Southern California Edison Company (SCE),² San Diego Gas & Electric Company

² In addition to the Joint Utilities' Comments, SCE made a separate comment seeking exemptions for certain measures from the modified 3 Measure Minimum Rule. (Joint Utilities' Comments, pp. 6-8.) SCE's comment is outside the scope of the March 2009 Ruling, and comments to a ruling are an improper vehicle through which to seek an exemption from the modified 3 Measure Minimum Rule.

(SDG&E), and Southern California Gas Company (SoCalGas) (collectively, the Joint Utilities) jointly filed comments (Joint Utilities' Comments) and Division of Ratepayer Advocates (DRA) filed its comments (DRA's Comments).

3. Clarification of Modified "3 Measure Minimum Rule"

3.1. Joint Utilities' Comments

Generally, the Joint Utilities support and welcome the proposed modifications to OP 47 and comment that the March 2009 Ruling "correctly provides clarification to ensure that as long as three of the above *individual* measures are installed, the home will qualify for participation in the LIEE program."³ The Joint Utilities also support the proposed elimination of Attachment G which created the confusion.

In addition to the revisions to OP 47 proposed in the March 2009 Ruling, the Joint Utilities propose minor additional language to the proposed revisions to OP 47 which further clarifies the modified "3 Measure Minimum Rule" as follows:

Homes that require three or more individual measures will qualify for LIEE participation regardless of energy savings. Additionally the three measures are counted on a household basis, not a program basis.

The Joint Utilities suggest the newly proposed OP in the March 2009 Ruling, which starts "In complying with OP 47 and calculating energy savings ...," should not be adopted because the Joint Utilities believe the proposed new OP creates potentially more confusion and may be unduly prescriptive in how the modified "3 Three Measure Minimum Rule" should be applied. The Joint Utilities instead "propose no changes to the reporting criteria presently in

³ Joint Utilities' Comments, p. 4.

place ...,” and suggest “that this additional paragraph [newly proposed OP] is not needed and that the present reporting parameters should be retained.”

The Joint Utilities also raise other issues related to the modified “3 Measure Minimum Rule” implementation which the Commission finds to be outside the scope of the March 2009 Ruling.⁴

3.2. DRA’s Comments

DRA states that it “does not oppose the removal of Attachment G” from D.08-11-031 and also acknowledges that Attachment G in its present form creates a confusion and the present need for clarification of the modified “3 Measure Minimum Rule.”⁵ DRA supports the efforts to clarify⁶ the modified “3 Measure Minimum Rule,” as follows:

Not only should the 3 Measure Minimum be maintained as one avenue of qualifying for LIEE services, but the

⁴ *Id.*, p. 6. The Joint Utilities contend that despite best efforts there will still be certain homes, primarily in single-commodity areas (*e.g.*, areas where only gas or electricity may be provided), where the Joint Utilities are unable to find 3 additional measures feasible for installation in a specific residence or are unable to find 3 additional measures feasible for installation in a specific residence or are unable to find 1 or 2 measures that can meet the 125 kWh or 25 therm criteria, making the homes ineligible for LIEE services. Since program resources are used to evaluate these homes, the Joint Utilities propose that such homes should be credited either as part of the 25% of the homes treated during 2009-2011 period, or as an alternative, should be deducted from the count of eligible and willing homes for 2009-2011 period.

Such an issue is prematurely brought to the Commission, since the Commission has already authorized the Joint Utilities’ budget for the 2009-2011 period based upon a set of assumptions. Should the Joint Utilities find that those assumptions need to be revisited in the next budget cycle (2012-2014) based upon information they have gathered during the current budget cycle, that may be the opportune time.

⁵ DRA’s Comments, p. 2.

⁶ DRA recommends the usage of the terms “expand” or “extend” or “make an exception to” rather than “eliminate” or “modify.” (*Id.*, p. 4.)

Commission should clarify that the alternative, an energy savings threshold of 125 kWh/annually or 25 therms/annually, can be met by one or two individual measures.

The existing text of OP 47 does refer to one or two measures that could together meet the savings threshold. To make OP 47 clearer, these two options must be clearly and concisely stated.⁷

DRA contends that “the energy savings threshold is an alternative, expansion, or exception to the ‘3 Measure Minimum rule.’”⁸ DRA notes that such clarification will also address DRA’s and other parties’ concerns that OP 47 in its present form could be interpreted in a way that could pose as “a barrier to leveraging” contrary to the intent and language of D.08-11-031,⁹ and ultimately resulting in fewer homes being treated.¹⁰

DRA, for reasons different from the Joint Utilities, opposes the newly proposed OP concerning the modified “3 Measure Minimum Rule” in the March 2009 Ruling, which starts “In complying with OP 47 and calculating energy savings” DRA contends the newly proposed OP “orders the sources to be used for estimating energy savings for measures, without the opportunity for parties to properly review the various sources of estimates, and in particular the DEER database.” DRA requests “more time for parties to analyze and

⁷ *Id.*, p. 3.

⁸ *Id.*

⁹ *Id.*, p. 2 (citing D.08-11-031, pp. 95-99).

¹⁰ *Id.*, (citing D.08-11-031, pp. 96-97).

provide comments on the proposed sources of energy savings estimates.”¹¹ DRA also raises other issues which are either inapposite or otherwise outside the scope of the March 2009 Ruling.¹²

3.3. Discussion

There is agreement amongst commenting parties that the modified “3 Measure Minimum Rule” as set forth in D.08-11-031 should be clarified. Joint Utilities and DRA however present differing ideas on how best to modify D.08-11-031 to achieve that clarification. In reconciling the competing comments, the Commission finds that D.08-11-031 should be modified in the manner set forth herein.

Joint Utilities and DRA agree that Attachment G to D.08-11-031, in its present form, creates confusion as it lists both individual measures as well as grouped measures. The March 2009 Ruling attempted to remove that confusion with a two-part solution and proposed: (1) elimination of said Attachment G; and (2) in lieu thereof, adoption of a newly proposed OP detailing an alternative methodology of estimating energy savings for the measures.

Both the Joint Utilities and DRA objected to the second part of the proposed two-part solution, for different reasons. In fact, the Joint Utilities noted, they support a solution which maintains status quo. They “propose no

¹¹ *Id.*, p. 4. (The issue of what sources should be used in estimating energy savings is an issue of importance but is being reviewed as part of the 2009 Impact Evaluation process currently underway pursuant to D.08-11-031.)

¹² DRA argues, under Rule 16.4 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, the Joint Utilities must first file a petition to modify the decision before the decision can be modified. While that is the most common vehicle, the Commission has an inherent authority to modify prior decisions when cause exists, which we exercise here. (Pub. Util. Code § 1708.)

changes to the reporting criteria presently in place ...,” and suggest “that this additional paragraph [newly proposed OP] is not needed and that the present reporting parameters should be retained.” Specifically, the Joint Utilities suggested the proposed alternative methodology of estimating energy savings for the measures would be unduly prescriptive. The Joint Utilities propose an alternative to the two-part solution:

The ambiguity is largely eliminated ... by (1) allowing measure groups to be broken into individual measure components to count toward the minimum savings threshold and (2) eliminating the measure savings component when the three measure threshold has been met.

DRA also seems to disfavor a change from the status quo to some degree but supports elimination of Attachment G on the basis that it creates confusion. DRA asserts, however, that any new or different methodology of estimating energy savings for the measures, such as the one proposed in the newly proposed OP, would need to be thoroughly reviewed, and requests that the Commission grant it additional time to evaluate the implications of the newly proposed OP.

Upon consideration of the parties’ comments and of the March 2009 Ruling, the Commission finds that the most effective method of resolving the confusion created by Attachment G is to simply revise Attachment G to replace the grouped measures therein with individual measures.

Attachment G, as attached to D.08-11-031, lists all measures separately with two exceptions: (1) Envelope and Air Sealing Measures; and (2) Water Heater Conservation Measures, which are grouped measures. For instance, the grouping of Envelope and Air Sealing Measures includes individual measures such as:

- Outlet Cover Plate Gaskets;
- Evaporative Cooler/ Air Conditioner Cover;
- Attic Access Weatherization;
- Door Weatherstripping;
- Caulking; and
- Minor Home Repairs (which includes ceiling repair, cover plates, door jams, door patch/plate, door replacement, exhaust fan vents, exterior wall repair, foam wall patch, interior wall repair, glass replacements, glazing compounds, lock sets (exterior door) windowsill repair, thresholds, vent repair and alignment, and window repair).

Likewise, the grouping of Water Heater Conservation Measures includes individual measures such as:

- Water Heater Blanket;
- Low Flow Showerhead;
- Water Heater Pipewrap; and
- Faucet Aerators.

The Commission concludes that it is appropriate to replace Attachment G to D.08-11-031 with a revised Attachment G.¹³ (See attached Appendix 1.) The Commission also concludes that the Joint Utilities' proposed additional language to further clarify the modified "3 Measure Minimum Rule" is helpful.

The revised Attachment G replaces previously listed "measure groups" with individual measures and lists each measure's corresponding energy savings. Upon the effective date of this decision, the Commission directs the

¹³ In addition to breaking down measure groupings, the revised Attachment G also eliminates non-essential and superfluous data from the original Attachment G to D.08-11-031.

IOUs to utilize the revised Attachment G to calculate energy savings for LIEE measures.

This solution moots much of the concerns of the Joint Utilities and DRA concerning the OP proposed in the March 2009 Ruling and adopts the Joint Utilities' proposal to simply break down the two measure groups "into individual measure components to count toward the minimum savings threshold"¹⁴

4. Augmentation of One-E-App Pilot Project Budget

4.1. Joint Utilities' Comments

The Joint Utilities' Comments support the proposed increase in the One-E-App Pilot Project funding to include maintenance costs and propose that the increase needed in maintenance costs will be \$42,000 to cover technical support, telecommunications and data hosting, and system maintenance for the life of the pilot period.

4.2. DRA's Comments

DRA does not take issue with the augmentation of the One-E-App Pilot Project budget.¹⁵

4.3. Discussion

There is no disagreement amongst the commenting parties as to the need for augmenting and increasing the One-E-App Pilot Project funding to add the maintenance costs. The Joint Utilities propose and recommend an increase of \$42,000 to cover technical support, telecommunications and data hosting, and

¹⁴ Joint Utilities' Comments, p. 3.

¹⁵ DRA's Comments, pp. 2-4.

system maintenance for the life of the pilot period. The Commission finds the amount requested in the Joint Utilities' Comments is reasonable. As such, the Commission finds that D.08-11-031 should be modified in the manner set forth below to increase the One-E-App Pilot Project funding.

5. Comments on Proposed Decision

The proposed decision of the Administrative Law Judge in this matter was mailed to the parties in accordance with Section 311 of the Public Utilities Code and comments were allowed under Rule 14.3 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure. Comments were filed on _____, and reply comments were filed on _____ by _____.

6. Assignment of Proceeding

Dian M. Grueneich is the assigned Commissioner and Kimberly H. Kim is the assigned ALJ in this proceeding.

Findings of Fact

1. In D.08-11-031, the Commission, in part, modified what had commonly been referred to as the "3 Measure Minimum Rule." Until D.08-11-031, the "3 Measure Minimum Rule" prohibited the IOUs from installing energy savings measures in a home that did not require at least three measures. D.08-11-031 modified and expanded the "3 Measure Minimum Rule." That modified "3 Measure Minimum Rule" is currently being applied by the IOUs in complying with D.08-11-031.

2. The modified "3 Measure Minimum Rule," under D.08-11-031, was intended to allow the IOUs to install one or two measures in a home, as long as the total energy savings achieved by either measure or measures combined yield(s) energy savings of at least either 125 kWh/annually or

25 therms/annually, as described in D.08-11-031 and adopted in OP 47 of that decision.

3. Since D.08-11-031, an apparent inconsistency in said decision was discovered in its OP 47 as well as Attachment G to the decision which was referenced by that OP. Said inconsistency created confusion to parties in implementing D.08-11-031.

4. To eliminate this apparent confusion, assigned Commissioner Dian Grueneich and assigned ALJ Kimberly Kim issued the March 2009 Ruling. The March 2009 Ruling sought comments from parties to proposed clarifications of D.08-11-031 concerning the newly modified "3 Measure Minimum Rule."

5. The most effective method of resolving the apparent confusion created by Attachment G is to simply revise Attachment G to replace the grouped measures therein with individual measures.

6. In D.08-11-031, the Commission adopted a pilot project (One-E-App Pilot Project) and allocated funding for said project.

7. Since the issuance of D.08-11-031, an oversight in the One-E-App Pilot Project budget has been discovered. The budget of \$167,000 originally allocated by the Commission for this pilot does not cover the needed maintenance costs incurred by The Center for the 1-year life of the One-E-App Pilot Project.

8. The March 2009 Ruling sought comments from parties concerning proposed modifications to D.08-11-031 to increase the One-E-App Pilot Project budget, to cover reasonable maintenance costs associated with the One-E-App Pilot.

9. PG&E's request that the One-E-App Pilot Project budget be increased by \$42,000 to cover the maintenance costs for the life of the pilot period is reasonable.

10. Unless D.08-11-031 is clarified and modified concerning the modified “3 Measure Minimum Rule,” the parties will experience ongoing confusion in their application of the rule, and such confusion will frustrate and ultimately compromise the IOUs’ efforts to promote the Commission’s LIEE programs, goals and objectives.

11. Unless D.08-11-031 is modified to increase One-E-App Pilot Budget by \$42,000 to cover the maintenance costs for the One-E-App Pilot project ordered under D.08-11-031, PG&E will not be able to effectively carry out the pilot as envisioned in that decision.

Conclusions of Law

1. D.08-11-031 should be clarified and modified concerning the modified “3 Measure Minimum Rule” to eliminate confusion in the application of the rule.

2. D.08-11-031 should be modified to increase the One-E-App Pilot Project budget by \$42,000 to cover the maintenance costs.

3. The amount requested in the Joint Utilities’ Comments for increase in One-E-App Pilot budget is reasonable.

4. This proceeding should remain open so that the Commission may continue to monitor the progress of pilots and conduct compliance reviews of the various reports D.08-11-031 requires from the IOUs.

O R D E R

IT IS ORDERED that:

1. Decision 08-11-031 is modified concerning the modified “3 Measure Minimum Rule” to eliminate confusion in the application of the rule, as follows:

a. Section 11 of Decision 08-11-031 is modified to read as follows:

We modify the “3 Measure Minimum Rule” (which prohibits IOUs from installing measures in a home that does not require at least three measures) in favor of a rule that allows IOUs to install

one or two individual measures in a home, as long as the total energy savings achieved by either measure or measures combined yield(s) energy savings of at least either 125 kWh/annually or 25 therms/annually. The revised Attachment G to this decision identifies which individual measures qualify for installation, if a home requires less than three individual measures. Homes that require three or more individual measures (with Minor Home Repairs qualifying as one individual measure) qualify for LIEE participation *regardless of energy savings*. Additionally, this new energy savings threshold and the three measures are counted on a household basis, not a program basis.

- b. The second paragraph of Section 11.3 of Decision 08-11-031 is modified as follows:

In an effort to balance these competing issues, we agree to allow certain exceptions to the “3 Measure Minimum Rule.” Specifically, we permit the utilities to deliver fewer than three measures to a home, as long as the total energy savings achieved by either measure or measures combined yield(s) energy savings of at least either 125 kWh/annually or 25 therms/annually. (*See Revised Attachment G.*) The measures that qualify under this new provision will be updated each budget cycle.

- c. Ordering Paragraph 47 of Decision 08-11-031 is modified to read as follows:

We modify the “3 Measure Minimum Rule” (which prohibits IOUs from installing measures in a home that does not require at least three measures) in favor of a rule that allows IOUs to install one or two individual measures in a home, as long as the total energy savings achieved by either measure or measures combined yield(s) energy savings of at least either 125 kWh/annually or 25 therms/annually. The revised Attachment G to this decision identifies which individual measures qualify for installation, if a home requires less than 3 individual measures. Homes that require three or more individual measures (with Minor Home Repairs qualifying as

one individual measure) qualify for LIEE participation regardless of energy savings. Additionally, this new energy savings threshold and the three measures are counted on a household basis, not a program basis.

- d. Attachment G to Decision 08-11-031 is revised to reflect the adopted modifications to the modified "3 Measure Minimum Rule." The revised Attachment G attached hereto as Appendix 1 supersedes the Attachment G to Decision 08-11-031.

2. Decision 08-11-031 is modified to increase the One-E-App Pilot Project budget by \$42,000 to cover the maintenance costs, as follows:

- a. The table in Section 1 of Decision 08-11-031 is modified to read as follows:

IOU Proposed Budgets 2009-2011				
Utility	LIEE			
	2009	2010	2011	Cycle Total
PG&E	\$112,702,000	\$152,011,000	\$157,625,000	\$422,338,000
SCE	\$53,594,000	\$54,783,000	\$56,633,000	\$165,010,000
SoCalGas	\$40,599,000	\$65,849,000	\$67,184,000	\$173,631,999
SDG&E	\$21,000,000	\$21,000,000	\$20,250,000	\$62,250,000
Total	\$227,895,000	\$293,643,000	\$301,691,999	\$823,229,999
Utility	CARE			
	2009	2010	2011	Cycle Total
PG&E	\$470,011,651	\$479,331,337	\$489,228,435	\$1,438,571,423
SCE	\$208,541,000	\$213,312,000	\$216,885,000	\$638,738,000
SoCalGas	\$139,132,786	\$140,737,280	\$142,489,637	\$422,359,704
SDG&E	\$49,961,816	\$51,516,795	\$53,064,454	\$154,543,065
Total	\$867,647,253	\$884,897,412	\$901,667,526	\$2,654,212,192

Adopted Budget Summary 2009-2011				
Utility	LIEE			
	2009	2010	2011	Cycle Total
PG&E	\$109,056,366	\$151,067,347	\$156,789,038	\$416,912,752
SCE	\$60,242,000	\$61,561,082	\$63,413,860	\$185,216,942
SoCalGas	\$49,571,908	\$76,872,816	\$78,256,269	\$204,700,993
SDG&E	\$21,184,008	\$21,184,009	\$20,327,606	\$62,695,622
Total	\$240,054,283	\$310,685,254	\$318,786,772	\$869,526,309
Utility	CARE			
	2009	2010	2011	Cycle Total
PG&E	\$470,356,651	\$479,331,337	\$489,228,435	\$1,438,916,423
SCE	\$208,541,000	\$213,312,000	\$216,885,000	\$638,738,000
SoCalGas	\$139,132,786	\$140,737,280	\$142,489,637	\$422,359,704
SDG&E	\$49,961,816	\$51,516,795	\$53,064,454	\$154,543,065
Total	\$ 867,994,262.40	\$ 884,899,422.01	\$ 901,669,537.33	\$ 2,654,557,191.74

- b. The portion of the table in Section 21.1 of Decision 08-11-031 for Pacific Gas and Electric Company is modified to read as follows:

CARE Budget Categories	2009	2010	2011	Total Cycle
Outreach	\$ 5,500,000	\$ 5,700,000	\$ 5,900,000	\$ 17,100,000
Proc., Certification and Verification	\$ 1,800,000	\$ 1,900,000	\$ 2,000,000	\$ 5,700,000
Information Tech./Programming (1)	\$ 300,000	\$ 300,000	\$ 300,000	\$ 900,000
Pilots (2)	\$ -	\$ 75,000	\$ -	\$ 75,000
Measurement and Evaluation	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Regulatory Compliance	\$ 105,000	\$ 110,000	\$ 115,000	\$ 330,000
General Administration	\$ 500,000	\$ 525,000	\$ 550,000	\$ 1,575,000
CPUC Energy Division Staff	\$ 206,000	\$ 206,000	\$ 206,000	\$ 618,000
Cooling Centers (3)	\$ 350,000	\$ 400,000	\$ 450,000	\$ 1,200,000
One-e-App (Pilot)	\$ 345,000	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 345,000
Total Expenses	\$ 9,106,000	\$ 9,216,000	\$ 9,521,000	\$ 27,843,000
Subsidies and Benefits (4)	\$ 461,250,651	\$ 470,115,337	\$ 479,707,435	\$ 1,411,073,423
Total Program Costs and Discounts	\$ 470,356,651	\$ 479,331,337	\$ 489,228,435	\$ 1,438,916,423

- c. The first sentence in the second paragraph in Section 21.1 of Decision 08-11-031 is modified to read as follows:

In addition to the IOU budget request we approve an additional \$209,000 for a pilot to pursue automatic enrollment through One-E-App, and grant PG&E an additional \$136,000 for its work related to the pilot, as discussed later in this decision.

- d. The third paragraph in Section 25.3 of Decision 08-11-031 is modified to read as follows:

The cost of the two-county pilot project described in this proposal is \$209,000, which we allocate to PG&E's CARE budget. Costs include analysis of the population crossover, a Subject Matter Experts (SME) session with CARE program administrators, system configuration, development, testing, training, post-launch support, county engagement, project management, and related maintenance costs.

- e. The table in Section 25.4 of Decision 08-11-031 is modified to read as follows:

PG&E One E App Budget Approval				
Item	Originally Authorized	Requested Amount (for PG&E)	Approved Amount	Total Authorized
PG&E's IT Application Development	\$ -	\$ 157,000	\$ 78,500	\$ 78,500
PG&E's Technical Architecture	\$ -	\$ 23,000	\$ 12,500	\$ 12,500
PG&E's Training and Performance	\$ -	\$ 15,000	\$ 15,000	\$ 15,000
PG&E's Infrastructure Cost	\$ -	\$ 30,000	\$ 30,000	\$ 30,000
Center to Promote Health Care Access	\$ 167,000	\$ 209,000	\$ 209,000	\$ 209,000
Total	\$ 167,000	\$ 434,000	\$ 345,000	\$ 345,000

- f. The table in Section 27 of Decision 08-11-031 is modified to read as follows:

Adopted Budget Summary 2009-2011				
Utility	LIEE			
	2009	2010	2011	Cycle Total
PG&E	\$109,056,366	\$151,067,347	\$156,789,038	\$416,912,752
SCE	\$60,242,000	\$61,561,082	\$63,413,860	\$185,216,942
SoCalGas	\$49,571,908	\$76,872,816	\$78,256,269	\$204,700,993
SDG&E	\$21,184,008	\$21,184,009	\$20,327,606	\$62,695,622
Total	\$240,054,283	\$310,685,254	\$318,786,772	\$869,526,309
Utility	CARE			
	2009	2010	2011	Cycle Total
PG&E	\$470,356,651	\$479,331,337	\$489,228,435	\$1,438,916,423
SCE	\$208,541,000	\$213,312,000	\$216,885,000	\$638,738,000
SoCalGas	\$139,132,786	\$140,737,280	\$142,489,637	\$422,359,704
SDG&E	\$49,961,816	\$51,516,795	\$53,064,454	\$154,543,065
Total	\$ 867,994,262.40	\$ 884,899,422.01	\$ 901,669,537.33	\$ 2,654,557,191.74

- g. Ordering Paragraph 1 of Decision 08-11-031 is modified to read as follows:

We approve 2009-11 Low Income Energy Efficiency (LIEE) and California Alternate Rates for Energy (CARE) budgets of the large investor owned utilities (IOUs), Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E), Southern California Edison Company (SCE), San Diego Gas & Electric Company (SDG&E), and Southern California Gas Company (SoCalGas), as follows:

Adopted Budget Summary 2009-2011				
Utility	LIEE			
	2009	2010	2011	Cycle Total
PG&E	\$109,056,366	\$151,067,347	\$156,789,038	\$416,912,752
SCE	\$60,242,000	\$61,561,082	\$63,413,860	\$185,216,942
SoCalGas	\$49,571,908	\$76,872,816	\$78,256,269	\$204,700,993
SDG&E	\$21,184,008	\$21,184,009	\$20,327,606	\$62,695,622
Total	\$240,054,283	\$310,685,254	\$318,786,772	\$869,526,309
	CARE			
	2009	2010	2011	Cycle Total
PG&E	\$470,356,651	\$479,331,337	\$489,228,435	\$1,438,916,423
SCE	\$208,541,000	\$213,312,000	\$216,885,000	\$638,738,000
SoCalGas	\$139,132,786	\$140,737,280	\$142,489,637	\$422,359,704
SDG&E	\$49,961,816	\$51,516,795	\$53,064,454	\$154,543,065
Total	\$ 867,994,262.40	\$ 884,899,422.01	\$ 901,669,537.33	\$ 2,654,557,191.74

- h. Ordering Paragraph 98 of Decision 08-11-031 is modified to read as follows:

We adopt a One-E-App pilot to be carried out in two counties in PG&E's territory and allocate \$209,000 to cover The Center to Promote Health Care Access' (The Center) portion of the pilot. This allocation includes \$42,000 to cover the One-E-App Pilot maintenance costs incurred by The Center. We add this amount to the PG&E CARE budget.

- i. Attachments A, M and O to Decision 08-11-031 are revised to reflect the increased funding to the One-E-App Pilot Project. The revised Attachments A, M, and O are attached hereto as Appendices 2, 3, and 4 and supersede the Attachments A, M, and O.

3. These proceedings shall remain open so that the Commission may continue to monitor the progress of pilots and conduct compliance reviews of the various reports Decision 08-11-031 requires from the investor owned utilities.

This order is effective today.

Dated _____, at San Francisco, California.

INFORMATION REGARDING SERVICE

I have provided notification of filing to the electronic mail addresses on the attached service list.

Upon confirmation of this document's acceptance for filing, I will cause a Notice of Availability of the filed document to be served upon the service list to this proceeding by U.S. mail. The service list I will use to serve the Notice of Availability of the filed document is current as of today's date.

Dated May 15, 2009, at San Francisco, California.

/s/ ANTONINA V. SWANSEN
Antonina V. Swansen

***** PARTIES *****

***** SERVICE LIST *****

**Last Updated on 15-MAY-2009 by: RC4
A0805022 LIST
A0805024/A0805025/A0805026**

Susan E. Brown
Attorney At Law
A WORLD INSTITUTE FOR SUSTAINABLE HUMANI
PO BOX 428
MILL VALLEY CA 94942
(415) 259-6316
sebesq@comcast.net
For: A World Institute for Sustainable Humanity

James Hodges
ACCES
1069 45TH STREET
SACRAMENTO CA 95819
(916) 451-7011
hodgesjl@surewest.net
For: The Association of California Community & Energy
Services etc.

Michael Lamond
ALPINE NATURAL GAS OPERATING COMPANY
PO BOX 550
15 ST. ANDREWS ROAD, SUITE 7
VALLEY SPRINGS CA 95252
(209) 772-3006
mike@alpinenaturalgas.com

Edward G. Poole
Attorney At Law
ANDERSON & POOLE
601 CALIFORNIA STREET, SUITE 1300
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94108-2818
(415) 956-6413
epoole@adplaw.com
For: Western Manufactured Housing Cmmunities Association

Keith Switzer
BEAR VALLEY ELECTRIC SERVICE
630 EAST FOOTHILL BLVD.
SAN DIMAS CA 91773
(909) 394-3600 X-759
kswitzer@gswater.com
For: BEAR VALLEY ELECTRIC SERVICE

William F. Parker
COMMUNITY ACTION AGENCY OF SAN MATEO
930 BRITTAN AVENUE
SAN CARLOS CA 94070
(650) 595-1342
wparker@baprc.com
For: COMMUNITY ACTION AGENCY OF SAN MATEO

Louise A. Perez
COMMUNITY RESOURCE PROJECT, INC.
250 HARRIS AVENUE, SUITE 6
SACRAMENTO CA 95838
(916) 567-5220
lperez@cresource.org
For: Self

Carolyn Cox
General Manager
5213 ROSEANA COURT
FAIR OAKS CA 95628
(916) 961-9478
carolyncox2@sbcglobal.net

Mary - Lee Kimber
Attorney At Law
DISABILITY RIGHTS ADVOCATES
2001 CENTER STREET, 3RD FLOOR
BERKELEY CA 94704-1204
(510) 665-8644
pucservice@dralegal.org
For: DISABILITY RIGHTS ADVOCATES

Melissa W. Kasnitz
MARY-LEE KIMBER
Attorney At Law
DISABILITY RIGHTS ADVOCATES
2001 CENTER STREET, THIRD FLOOR
BERKELEY CA 94704-1204
(510) 665-8644
pucservice@dralegal.org
For: DISABILITY RIGHTS ADVOCATES

Greggory L. Wheatland
Attorney At Law
ELLISON SCHNEIDER & HARRIS L.L.P.
2600 CAPITOL AVENUE, SUITE 400
SACRAMENTO CA 95816-5905
(916) 447-2166
glw@eslawfirm.com
For: Sierra Pacific Power Company

Gregory Redican
Deputy Director
COMMUNITY ACTION AGENCY OF SAN MATEO
930 BRITTAN AVENUE
SAN CARLOS CA 94070
(650) 595-1342
gredican@caasm.org
For: Self

Ronald Moore
GOLDEN STATE WATER/BEAR VALLEY ELECTRIC
630 EAST FOOTHILL BOULEVARD
SAN DIMAS CA 91773
(909) 394-3600 X 682
rkmoore@gswater.com

Bill Julian
43556 ALMOND LANE
DAVIS CA 95618
(530) 758-8882
billjulian@sbcglobal.net

Laila Correa
LATINO ISSUES FORUM
160 PINE STREET, SUITE 700
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94111
(415) 547-9078
lcorrea@lif.org
For: Latino Issues Forum

Alex Sotomayor
MARAVILLE FOUNDATION
5729 UNION PACIFIC AVENUE
LOS ANGELES CA 90022
(323) 869-4501
alexsot@aol.com

John Dutcher
Vice President - Regulatory Affairs
MOUNTAIN UTILITIES
3210 CORTE VALENCIA
FAIRFIELD CA 94534-7875
(707) 426-4003
ralf1241a@cs.com

Don Wood Sr.
PACIFIC ENERGY POLICY CENTER
4539 LEE AVENUE
LA MESA CA 91941
(619) 463-9035
dwood8@cox.net

Chonda J. Nwamu

Daniel Cooley
LISE H. JORDAN
Attorney At Law
PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY
77 BEALE STREET, MAIL CODE B30A
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94105
(415) 973-6646
dfc2@pge.com
For: PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY

Josephine Wu
PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY
PO BOX 770000, MAIL CODE B9A
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94177
(415) 973-3414
jwwd@pge.com

Michelle Mishoe
PACIFICORP
825 NE MULTNOMAH STREET, SUITE 1800
PORTLAND OR 97232
(503) 813-5854
michelle.mishoe@pacificorp.com
For: PacifiCorp

Ryan Flynn
PACIFICORP
825 NE MULTNOMAH STREET, 18TH FLOOR
PORTLAND OR 97232
(503) 813-5854
ryan.flynn@pacificorp.com

Jerry H. Mann
Attorney At Law
PERKINS, MANN & EVERETT
2222 W. SHAW AVE., SUITE 202
FRESNO CA 93711
(209) 447-5700
jmann@pmelaw.com
For: Richard Heath & Associates

Jerry H. Hann

PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY
77 BEALE STREET, B30A
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94120
(415) 973-6650
cjn3@pge.com

Allan Rago
QUALITY CONSERVATION SERVICES, INC.
4701 ARROW HIGHWAY, SUITE E
MONTCLAIR CA 91763
(909) 445-0450
arago@qcsca.com
For: The Energy Efficiency Council

Bruce Patton
RANCHO VALLEY BUILDERS, INC.
647 AERO WAY
ESCONDIDO CA 92029
(760) 747-6166
bpatton_rancho@sbcglobal.net
For: The San Diego Community Energy Advisory Committee
(SDCEAC)

Cristal Bedortha
RESIDENTIAL WALL INSULATION
3714 NELSON AVE.
OROVILLE CA 95965
(530) 882-4216
cristalfour@aol.com
For: Residential Wall Insulation

Art Brice
RICHARD HEATH AND ASSOCIATES, INC.
590 W. LOCUST AVENUE, SUITE 103
FRESNO CA 93650
(559) 447-7000
abrice@rhainc.com
For: Richard Heath and Associates, Inc.

Rashid A. Rashid
Legal Division
RM. 4107
505 VAN NESS AVE
San Francisco CA 94102 3298
(415) 703-2705
rhd@cpuc.ca.gov
For: DRA

Joy C. Yamagata
Regulatory Manager

PERKINS, MANN & EVERETT, A.P.C.
2222 W. SHAW AVENUE, SUITE 202
FRESNO CA 93711
(559) 447-5700
jmann@pmelaw.com
For: Richard Heath & Associates, Inc.

Kim F. Hassan
Attorney At Law
SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY
101 ASH STREET, HQ-12
SAN DIEGO CA 92101
(619) 699-5006
khassan@sempra.com
For: Southern California Gas Company & San Diego Gas Electric
Company

Georgetta J. Baker
Attorney At Law
SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC/SOCAL GAS
101 ASH STREET, HQ 13
SAN DIEGO CA 92101
(619) 699-5064
gbaker@sempra.com
For: SDG&E/SOCALGAS

Elena Mello
SIERRA PACIFIC POWER COMPANY
6100 NEIL ROAD
RENO NV 89520
(775) 834-5696
emello@sppc.com

Michael R. Thorp
SOCALGAS AND SDG&E
555 WEST FIFTH STREET, STE 1400
LOS ANGELES CA 90013-1011
(213) 244-2981
mthorp@sempra.com

Michael Montoya
Senior Attorney
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON
2244 WALNUT GROVE AVE.
ROSEMEAD CA 91770
montoym1@sce.com

Stacie Schaffer
JENNIFER SHIGEKAWA
Attorney At Law
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY
2244 WALNUT GROVE AVENUE, ROOM 390
ROSEMEAD CA 91770

SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY
8330 CENTURY PARK COURT, CP 32 D
SAN DIEGO CA 92123
(858) 654-1755
jyamagata@semprautilities.com
For: San Diego Gas & Electric Company/Southern California
Gas Company

(626) 302-3712
stacie.schaffer@sce.com
For: SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY

Arleen Novotney
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA FORUM
941 PALMS BLVD.
VENICE CA 90291
(310) 480-3922
social.forum@yahoo.com
For: Self

Samuel S. Kang
ROBERT GNAIZDA
THE GREENLINING INSTITUTE
1918 UNIVERSITY AVENUE, 2ND FLR.
BERKELEY CA 94704
(510) 926-4011
samuelk@greenlining.org
For: THE GREENLINING INSTITUTE

Bobbi J. Sterrett
Snr. Specialist/State Regulatory Affairs
SOUTHWEST GAS CORPORATION
5241 SPRING MOUNTAIN ROAD
LAS VEGAS NV 89150-0002
(702) 364-3309
bobbi.sterrett@swgas.com

Hayley Goodson
Attorney At Law
THE UTILITY REFORM NETWORK
711 VAN NESS AVENUE, SUITE 350
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94102
(415) 929-8876
hayley@turn.org
For: TURN

Keith Layton
SOUTHWEST GAS CORPORATION
PO BOX 98510
LAS VEGAS NV 89193-8510
(702) 876-7395
keith.layton@swgas.com
For: Southwest Gas Corporation

Paul Kerkorian
UTILITY COST MANAGEMENT, LLC
6475 N PALM AVE., STE. 105
FRESNO CA 93704
(559) 261-9230
pk@utilitycostmanagement.com
For: Nonprofit Housing Associatio of Northrn California

Valerie J. Ontiveroz
Specialist/ State Regulatory Affairs
SOUTHWEST GAS CORPORATION
5241 SPRING MOUNTAIN ROAD
LAS VEGAS NV 89193-8510
(702) 876-7323
valerie.ontiveroz@swgas.com

Raymond J. Czahar, C.P.A.
Chief Financial Officer
WEST COAST GAS COMPANY
9203 BEATTY DRIVE
SACRAMENTO CA 95826
(916) 364-4100
westgas@aol.com

Richard Villasenor
TELACU
12252 MC CANN DRIVE
SANTA FE SPRINGS CA 90670
(562) 777-1142
richvilla4@hotmail.com

Irene K. Moosen
Attorney At Law
WESTERN MANUFACTURED HOUSING COMM. SVCS.
53 SANTA YNEZ AVENUE
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94112
(415) 587-7343
irene@igc.org

Robert Gnaizda
Policy Director/General Counsel
THE GREENLINING INSTITUTE
1918 UNIVERSITY AVENUE, SECOND FLOOR
BERKELEY CA 94704

Karen Watts-Zagha

(510) 926-4006
robertg@greenlining.org
For: THE GREENLINING INSTITUTE

Division of Ratepayer Advocates
RM. 4104
505 VAN NESS AVE
San Francisco CA 94102 3298
(415) 703-2881
kwz@cpuc.ca.gov
For: DRA

***** STATE EMPLOYEE *****

Zaida Amaya-Pineda
Energy Division
770 L STREET, SUITE 1050
Sacramento CA 95814
(916) 324-8684
zca@cpuc.ca.gov

Robert Kinosian
Executive Division
RM. 5202
505 VAN NESS AVE
San Francisco CA 94102 3298
(415) 703-1500
gig@cpuc.ca.gov
For: DRA

Mariana C. Campbell
Division of Ratepayer Advocates
RM. 4205
505 VAN NESS AVE
San Francisco CA 94102 3298
(415) 703-2731
mcl@cpuc.ca.gov

Megha Lakhchaura
Energy Division
AREA 4-A
505 VAN NESS AVE
San Francisco CA 94102 3298
(415) 703-1183
mla@cpuc.ca.gov

Melicia Charles
Energy Division
AREA 4-A
505 VAN NESS AVE
San Francisco CA 94102 3298
(415) 355-5502
mvc@cpuc.ca.gov

Alik Lee
Division of Ratepayer Advocates
RM. 4209
505 VAN NESS AVE
San Francisco CA 94102 3298
(415) 703-2050
ayo@cpuc.ca.gov

Hazlyn Fortune
Energy Division
AREA 4-A
505 VAN NESS AVE
San Francisco CA 94102 3298
(415) 703-2317
hcf@cpuc.ca.gov

Robert Lehman
Division of Ratepayer Advocates
RM. 4209
505 VAN NESS AVE
San Francisco CA 94102 3298
(415) 703-2245
leh@cpuc.ca.gov

Risa Hernandez
Division of Ratepayer Advocates
RM. 4209
505 VAN NESS AVE
San Francisco CA 94102 3298
(415) 703-2132
rhh@cpuc.ca.gov

Harvey Y. Morris
Legal Division
RM. 5036
505 VAN NESS AVE
San Francisco CA 94102 3298
(415) 703-1086
hym@cpuc.ca.gov
For: DRA

Kelly Hymes
Executive Division
RM. 5306
505 VAN NESS AVE
San Francisco CA 94102 3298
(415) 703-5132
khy@cpuc.ca.gov

Kevin S. Nakamura
Division of Water and Audits
770 L STREET, SUITE 1050
Sacramento CA 95814
(916) 324-8687
kev@cpuc.ca.gov

Kimberly Kim
Administrative Law Judge Division
RM. 5003
505 VAN NESS AVE
San Francisco CA 94102 3298
(415) 703-1558
kk2@cpuc.ca.gov

Dan Olson
Energy Division
AREA 4-A
505 VAN NESS AVE
San Francisco CA 94102 3298
(415) 703-2801
do2@cpuc.ca.gov

Sarvjit S. Randhawa
Energy Division
AREA 4-A
505 VAN NESS AVE
San Francisco CA 94102 3298
(415) 703-2274
ssr@cpuc.ca.gov

Thomas M. Renaghan
Division of Ratepayer Advocates
RM. 4205
505 VAN NESS AVE
San Francisco CA 94102 3298
(415) 703-2107
tmr@cpuc.ca.gov

Sarita Sarvate
Energy Division
AREA 4-A
505 VAN NESS AVE
San Francisco CA 94102 3298
(415) 703-5574
sbs@cpuc.ca.gov

Johanna M. Sevier
Energy Division
AREA 4-A
505 VAN NESS AVE
San Francisco CA 94102 3298
(415) 703-1816
msj@cpuc.ca.gov

Matthew Tisdale
Division of Ratepayer Advocates
RM. 4104
505 VAN NESS AVE
San Francisco CA 94102 3298
(415) 703-5137
mwt@cpuc.ca.gov

David Ng
Executive Division
RM. 5207
505 VAN NESS AVE
San Francisco CA 94102 3298
(415) 703-1791
dhn@cpuc.ca.gov

Sean Wilson
Division of Water and Audits
AREA 3-C
505 VAN NESS AVE
San Francisco CA 94102 3298
(415) 703-1818
smw@cpuc.ca.gov

***** INFORMATION ONLY *****

Michael Karp
A.W.I.S.H.
PO BOX 812
LOPEZ ISLAND WA 98261
(360) 468-3231
michael@awish.net

Lydia Flores
President
AMERICAN INSULATION INC
8305 MIRALANI DRIVE
SAN DIEGO CA 92126
(858) 527-0100
lflores@americaninsul.com

Paul Delaney
AMERICAN UTILITY NETWORK (A.U.N.)
10705 DEER CANYON DRIVE
ALTA LOMA CA 91737
(805) 390-5632
pssed@adelphia.net

Dave Stephenson
Rate Regulation Manager - Western Regio
AMERICAN WATER WORKS SERVICE CO.
4701 BELOIT DRIVE
SACRAMENTO CA 95838
(916) 568-4260
dstephenson@amwater.com

David J. Coyle
General Manager
ANZA ELECTRIC CO-OPERATIVE, INC (909)
PO BOX 391908 / 58470 HWY 371
ANZA CA 92539-1909
(909) 763-4333

For: DRA

Ava N. Tran
Energy Division
AREA 4-A
505 VAN NESS AVE
San Francisco CA 94102 3298
(415) 703-2887
atr@cpuc.ca.gov

Peter Hofmann
BO ENTERPRISES
43B EAST MAIN ST
LOS GATOS CA 95030-6907
(408) 354-1900
adiff@aol.com

Ryan Bernardo
BRAUN BLAISING MCLAUGHLIN, P.C.
915 L STREET, SUITE 1270
SACRAMENTO CA 95814
(916) 912-4432
bernardo@braunlegal.com

David Morse
CALIFORNIA AMERICAN WATER CO.
1411 W. COVELL BLVD., STE. 106-292
DAVIS CA 95616-5934
(530) 756-5033
demorse@omsoft.com

Robert J. Bicker
Legislative Analyst
CALIFORNIA APARTMENT ASSOCIATION
980 NINTH STREET, SUITE 200
SACRAMENTO CA 95814
(800) 967-4222
rbicker@caanet.org

CALIFORNIA ENERGY MARKTS
425 DIVISADERO STREET, STE 303
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94117
(415) 963-4439
cem@newsdata.com

Sarah Beserra
CALIFORNIA REPORTS.COM
39 CASTLE HILL COURT
VALLEJO CA 94591
(707) 645-7361
sbeserra@sbcglobal.net
For: CALIFORNIA REPORTS.COM

Ben Carroll

Elisabeth Adams
ASSERT INC.
155 W. AVENUE J-5
LANCASTER CA 93534
(661) 729-2424
eadams.assert@verizon.net

Jeanne M. Sole'
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
CITY HALL, RM 234
1 DR. CARLTON B. GOODLET PLACE
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94102-4682
(415) 554-4700
jeanne.sole@sfgov.org

Mary Tucker
Environmental Services Department
CITY OF SAN JOSE
200 E. SANTA CLARA, 10TH FLOOR
SAN JOSE CA 95113
(408) 975-2581
mary.tucker@sanjoseca.gov

COMMERCE ENERGY, INC.
600 ANTON BLVD., SUITE 2000
COSTA MESA CA 92626
(714) 259-2502

Docket Coordinator
5727 KEITH ST.
OAKLAND CA 94618
(510) 597-1798
cpucdockets@keyesandfox.com

Maria Y. Juarez
Deputy Director
DEPARTMENT OF COUMMINTY ACTION
2038 IOWA AVENUE, SUITE B-102
RIVERSIDE CA 92507
(951) 955-3563
mjuarez@capriverside.org

Jason Wimbley
Division Chief, Energy&Environ Programs
DEPT. OF COMMUNITY SERVICES & DEVELOPMEN
700 NORTH 10TH STREET, ROOM 258
SACRAMENTO CA 95814
(916) 341-4356
jwimbley@csd.ca.gov

Ortensia Lopez
Executive Director

2615 W DUDLEY AVE.
FRESNO CA 93728
ben@renaissanceinc.net

Ann Kelly
Dept. Of The Environment
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
11 GROVE STREET
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94102
(415) 355-3720
ann.kelly@sfgov.org

Jedediah J. Gibson
Attorney At Law
ELLISON SCHNEIDER & HARRIS LLP
2600 CAPITOL AVENUE, SUITE 400
SACRAMENTO CA 95816-5905
(916) 447-2166
jjg@eslawfirm.com
For: Sierra Pacific Power Company

Janine L. Scancarelli
Attorney At Law
FOLGER, LEVIN & KAHN, LLP
275 BATTERY STREET, 23RD FLOOR
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94111
(415) 986-2800
jscancarelli@flk.com

Zachary Franklin
GRID ALTERNATIVES
1610 HARRISON STREET, SUITE C
OAKLAND CA 94612
(510) 550-8535
zfranklin@gridalternatives.org
For: GRID ALTERNATIVES

Robert E. Burt
INSULATION CONTRACTORS ASSN.
4153 NORTHGATE BLVD., NO.6
SACRAMENTO CA 95834
(916) 568-1826
bburt@macnexus.org

Alex Kang
ITRON, INC.
1111 BROADWAY, STE. 1800
OAKLAND CA 94607
(510) 844-2800
alex.kang@itron.com

Jody S. London
JODY LONDON CONSULTING

EL CONCILIO OF SAN MATEO
1419 BURLINGAME AVE., SUITE N
BURLINGAME CA 94010
(650) 373-1087
or10sia@aol.com

Jose Atilio Hernandez
Liob Member
LIOB
9237 GERALD STREET
NORTHRIDGE CA 91343
(818) 606-1746
Jose.atilio@gmail.com

Michael Williams
Liob Member
LIOB
3045 N. SUNNYSIDE, SUITE 101
FRESNO CA 93727
(559) 346-1251
mjwms@calwes.com

Richard Mccann
M.CUBED
2655 PORTAGE BAY ROAD, SUITE 3
DAVIS CA 95616
(530) 757-6363
rmccann@umich.edu

Mark McNulty
4654 MAYAPAN DR
LA MESA CA 91941-7148
(619) 466-7691
markmcnulty@sbcglobal.net
For: Bear Valley Electric/Golden State water

Bob Hondeville
MODESTO IRRIGATION DISTRICT
1231 11TH STREET
MODESTO CA 95354
(209) 526-7373
bobho@mid.org

Joy A. Warren
Regulatory Administrator
MODESTO IRRIGATION DISTRICT
1231 11TH STREET
MODESTO CA 95354

PO BOX 3629
OAKLAND CA 94609
(510) 459-0667
jody_london_consulting@earthlink.net

Faith Bautista
Liob Member
LIOB
9630 BLACK MOUNTAIN ROAD, SUITE G
SAN DIEGO CA 92126
(858) 586-7382
bautistafaith@yahoo.com

Dutcher John
V.P. Regulatory Affairs
MOUNTAIN UTILITIES
3210 CORTE VALENCIA
FAIRFIELD CA 94534
(707) 426-4003
Ral1241a@CS.com
For: Mountain Utilities

Wayne Amer
President
MOUNTAIN UTILITIES
PO BOX 205
KIRKWOOD CA 95646
(209) 258-7444
wamer@kirkwood.com

John Newcomb
696 SOUTH TIPPECANOE AVENUE
SAN BERNARDINO CA 92415
jnewc@capsbc.sbcounty.gov
For: Community Action Partnership of San Bernardino County

Jim Meyers
Southwest Regional Manager
NORTH AMERICAN INSULATION MANUF. ASSOC.
7792 SOUTH HARRISON CIRCLE
CENTENNIAL CO 80122
(303) 974-7243
jmeyers@naima.org

Linda Fontes
PACIFIC GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY
123 MISSION ROOM 1404 MC H14F
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94105
(415) 973-6239
lcf2@pge.com

Roland Risser

(209) 526-7389
joyw@mid.org

Thomas S Kimball
MODESTO IRRIGATION DISTRICT
1231 11TH STREET
MODESTO CA 95352-4060
(209) 557-1510
tomk@mid.org

Brett Searle
Project Management Analyst
PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY
123 MISSION-ROOM 1464, MCH14F
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94105
(415) 973-5705
bjsv@pge.com

Brian K. Cherry
Vp, Regulatory Relations
PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY
PO BOX 770000, MAIL CODE: B10C
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94177
(415) 973-4977
bkc7@pge.com

Craig M. Buchsbaum
Attorney At Law
PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY
77 BEALE STREET, B30A
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94105
(415) 973-4844
cmb3@pge.com
For: Pacific Gas and Electric Company

Frances L. Thompson
PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY
3401 CROW CANYON ROAD, 170C
SAN RAMON CA 94583
(415) 973-2486
flt2@pge.com

Law Department File Room
PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY
77 BEALE STREET, B30A
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94105
(415) 973-7528
cpuccases@pge.com

Lise H. Jordan

Director, Customer Energy Efficiency
PACIFIC GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY
PO BOX 770000, MAIL CODE N6G
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94177
(415) 973-8437
rjrb@pge.com

Case Coordination
PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC
PO BOX 770000; MC B9A
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94177
regrelcpuccases@pge.com

Steven R. Haertle
PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY
77 BEALE STREET, MC B9A
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94105
(415) 972-5603
SRH1@pge.com

Tina Nguyen
PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY
123 MISSION-ROOM 1456
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94105
ttn7@pge.com

Marisa Decristoforo
PACIFICORP
825 NE MULTNOMAH STREET, SUITE 800
PORTLAND OR 97232
(503) 813-6084
marisa.decrstoforo@pacificorp.com

Mark Tucker
PACIFICORP
825 NE MULTNOMAH, SUITE 2000
PORTLAND OR 97232
(503) 813-5269
californiadockets@pacificorp.com

Jessica Nelson
Energy Services Manager
PLUMAS SIERRA RURAL ELECTRIC COOP. (908)
73233 STATE ROUTE 70
PORTOLA CA 96122-7069
(530) 832-6004
jnelson@psrec.coop

Senator Richard Polanco
3701 GLENALBYN DRIVE
LOS ANGELES CA 90065
(323) 343-8702
Senpolanco22@aol.com

Diana Bjornskov

Attorney
PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY
77 BEALE STREET, B30A
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94105
(415) 973-6965
lhj2@pge.com

Mary O'Drain
PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY
123 MISSION - ROOM 1410, MC H14G
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94177
(415) 973-2317
mjjob@pge.com

Tom Hamilton
Energy Program Manager
QUALITY BUILT
15330 AVENUE OF SCIENCE
SAN DIEGO CA 92128
(800) 547-5125
thamilton@qualitybuilt.com

M. Sami Khawaja, Ph.D
QUANTEC, LLC
SUITE 400
720 SW WASHINGTON STREET
PORTLAND OR 97205
(503) 228-2992
Sami.Khawaja@quantecllc.com

Ron Garcia
RELIABLE ENERGY MANAGEMENT, INC.
6250 PARAMOUNT BLVD.
LONG BEACH CA 90805
(562) 984-5511
ron@releenergy.com

Dave Munk
Program Manager
RESOURCE ACTION PROGRAM
2724 UPPER CATTLE CREEK ROAD
CARBONDALE CO 81623
(970) 963-7476
dm@getwise.org

Barbara Williams
RHA, INC.
1151 HARBOR BAY PKWY STE. 205
ALAMEDA CA 94502-6561
(510) 748-4330
barbara@rhainc.com

James Dezell
RHA, INC.
SUITE 205
1151 HARBOR BAY PKWY

Senior Program Manager
PORTLAND ENERGY CONSERVATION, INC
1400 SW 5TH AVENUE, STE 700
PORTLAND OR 97201
(503) 961-6176
Dbjornskov@peci.org

Rick C. Noger
PRAXAIR PLAINFIELD, INC.
2711 CENTERVILLE ROAD, SUITE 400
WILMINGTON DE 19808
(925) 866-6809
rick_noger@praxair.com

James O'Bannon
RICHARD HEATH AND ASSOCIATES, INC.
1026 MANGROVE AVE., STE 20
CHICO CA 95926
(530) 898-1323
jim@rhainc.com

Joe Williams
Ceo
RICHARD HEATH AND ASSOCIATES, INC.
590 W. LOCUST AVENUE, STE 103
FRESNO CA 93650
(559) 447-7000
joe@rhainc.com

John Jensen
Regional Manager
RICHARD HEATH AND ASSOCIATES, INC.
7847 CONVOY COURT , SUITE 102
SAN DIEGO CA 92111
(858) 514-4025
jjensen@rhainc.com

Kristine Lucero
Executive Assistant
RICHARD HEATH AND ASSOCIATES, INC.
590 W. LOCUST AVE., STE. 103
FRESNO CA 93650
(559) 447-7000
klucero@rhainc.com

Pamela Gorsuch
Project Manager
RICHARD HEATH AND ASSOCIATES, INC.
1026 MANGROVE AVENUE, SUITE 20
CHICO CA 95926
(530) 898-1323
pamela@rhainc.com

Yvette Vazquez
Customer Asst. Manager

ALAMEDA CA 94502
jldezell@yahoo.com

Hector Huerta
RICHARD HEATH AND ASSOCIATES, INC.
590 W. LOCUST AVE., SUITE 103
FRESNO CA 93650
(559) 447-7000
hhuerta@rhainc.com

Steve Rahon
Director, Tariff & Regulatory Accounts
SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY
8330 CENTURY PARK COURT, CP32C
SAN DIEGO CA 92123-1548
lschavrien@semprautilities.com

Steven D. Patrick
Attorney At Law
SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY
555 WEST FIFTH STREET, STE 1400
LOS ANGELES CA 90013-1011
(213) 244-2954
spatrick@sempra.com

Jim Mcnamara
SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY, INC.
1030 SOUTHWOOD DRIVE
SAN LUIS OBISPO CA 93401
(805) 541-4122 11
jmcnamara@eocslo.org

Scott Berg
SELF HELP HOME IMPROVEMENT PROJECT INC.
3777 MEADOWVIEW DR., 100
REDDING CA 96002
(530) 378-6905
sberg@shhip.org

Richard Esteves
SESCO, INC.
77 YACHT CLUB DRIVE, SUITE 1000
LAKE HOPATCONG NJ 07849-1313
(973) 663-5125
sesco@optonline.net

Steven R. Shallenberger
1330 SOUTH 1000 EAST
OREM UT 84097
steveshallenberger@gmail.com

SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC
8335 CENTURY PARK CT.
SAN DIEGO CA 92123-1569
YVazquez@semprautilities.com

Central Files
Regulatory Affairs
SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC CO.
8330 CENTURY PARK COURT-CP31E
SAN DIEGO CA 92123-1530
(858) 654-1766
CentralFiles@semprautilities.com

Sara Birmingham
Director, Western Policy
SOLAR ALLIANCE
646 19TH AVE
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94121
(415) 385-7240
sara@solaralliance.org
For: Solar Alliance

Jack F. Parkhill
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON
2131 WALNUT GROVE AVENUE
ROSEMEAD CA 91770
parkhjf@sce.com

John Fasana
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON
2131 WALNUT GOVE AVE.
ROSEMEAD CA 91770
john.fasana@sce.com

Larry R. Cope
Attorney At Law
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON
PO BOX 800, 2244 WALNUT GROVE AVENUE
ROSEMEAD CA 91770
(626) 302-2570
larry.cope@sce.com
For: Southern California Edison Company

Akbar Jazayeri
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY
2241 WALNUT GROVE AVENUE
PO BOX 800
ROSEMEAD CA 91770
(626) 302-3630
akbar.jazayeri@sce.com

Bruce Foster

Christopher Hilen
SIERRA PACIFIC POWER CO.
PO BOX 10100
RENO NV 89511
(775) 834-5696
chilen@sppc.com

Trevor Dillard
SIERRA PACIFIC POWER COMPANY
PO BOX 10100
6100 NEIL ROAD, MS S4A50
RENO NV 89520-0024
(775) 834-5823
tdillard@sppc.com

Jeannie Harrell
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY
2131 WALNUT GROVE AVENUE
ROSEMEAD CA 91770
jeannie.harrell@sce.com

Jennifer Tsao Shigekawa
Attorney At Law
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY
2244 WALNUT GROVE AVENUE
ROSEMEAD CA 91770
(626) 302-6819
Jennifer.Shigekawa@sce.com

Monica Ghattas
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY
2244 WALNUT GROVE AVENUE
ROSEMEAD CA 91770
(626) 302-3623
monica.ghattas@sce.com

Pete Zanzot
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY
2131 WALNUT GROVE AVENUE
ROSEMEAD CA 91770
pete.zanzot@sce.com

Roberto Del Real
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY
2131 WALNUT GROVE AVENUE
ROSEMEAD CA 91770
roberto.delreal@sce.com

Richard Shaw
President
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA FORUM
PO BOX 469
FILLMORE CA 93016
(805) 524-3752
r-l-shaw@msn.com

Senior Vice President
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY
601 VAN NESS AVENUE, STE. 2040
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94102
(415) 775-1856
bruce.foster@sce.com

Case Administration
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY
LAW DEPARTMENT, ROOM 370
2244 WALNUT GROVE AVENUE
ROSEMead CA 91770
(626) 302-6838
Case.Admin@sce.com

Steven R. Shallenberger
SYNERGY COMPANIES
28436 SATELLITE STREET
HAYWARD CA 94545
(951) 259-8800
shallenbgr@aol.com

Stephanie Chen
Legal Associate
THE GREENLINING INSTITUTE
1918 UNIVERSITY AVENUE, 2ND FLOOR
BERKELEY CA 94704
(510) 926-4011
stephaniec@greenlining.org
For: THE GREENLINING INSTITUTE

Karen Notsund
Assistant Director
UC ENERGY INSTITUTE
2547 CHANNING WAY 5180
BERKELEY CA 94720-5180
(510) 642-3570
knotsund@berkeley.edu

Mark Shirin
VENTURA TV APPLIANCE CENTER
3619 E VENTURA BLVD
FRESNO CA 93702-5009
(559) 266-5318
vtvgeneral@aol.com

Douglas Moir
WESTERN APPLIANCE
1976 W. SAN CARLOS STREET
SAN JOSE CA 95128
(408) 289-9958
dwmoir@westernappliance.com

Bill Belansky

Dave Sullivan P.E.
Consulting Engineer
614 38TH PLACE
FLORENCE OR 97439-8216
(541) 997-3841
davesullivan_71@msn.com

David C. Clark
SYNERGY COMPANIES
28436 SATELLITE STREET
HAYWARD CA 94545
(510) 259-1700
davidclark@synergycompanies.org

Steve Heim
WESTERN INSULATION, L.P.
2400 ROCKEFELLER DRIVE
CERES CA 95307
(209) 538-8510
steve.heim@mascocs.com

Sheila Dey
Executive Director
WESTERN MANUFACTURED HOUSING COMMUNITIES
455 CAPITAL MALL STE 800
SACRAMENTO CA 95814
(916) 448-7002
sheila@wma.org

Yole Whiting
12532 JACKSON HILL LANE
EL CAJON CA 92021
(619) 840-2231
ywhiting@cox.net

Ladonna Williams
Executive Director
PO BOX 5653
VALLEJO CA 94591
(707) 712-4088
zzeria@aol.com

Wallis J. Winegar
WINEGARD ENERGY, INC
5354 IRWINDALE AVENUE, BLDG B.
IRWINDALE CA 91706
(626) 256-0440
wallis@winegardenergy.com

Donna L. Wagoner
Division of Water and Audits
AREA 3-C
505 VAN NESS AVE
San Francisco CA 94102 3298

WESTERN INSULATION
680 COLUMBIA AVE
RIVERSIDE CA 92507-2144
(951) 360-3127
bill.belansky@masco.com

(415) 703-1942
dlw@cpuc.ca.gov

Stephanie Borba
WESTERN INSULATION, L.P.
2400 ROCKEFELLER DRIVE
CERES CA 95307
(209) 538-8510
stephanie.borba@masco.com